FOR HSSP II PERIOD 2017-2022

MINISTRY OF HEALTH MALAWI

Contents

1	General interpretation guide	2
2	Description of included information for each indicator	3
3	Child health indicators	4
4	Clinical services indicators	16
5	CMED indicators	19
6	DHTSS - Pharmacy	20
7	Environmental health indicators	22
8	Epidemiology indicators	26
9	HIV / AIDS indicators	27
10	Human resource indicators	
11	Malaria indicators	
12	Non-communicable diseases indicators	43
13	Nutrition indicators	49
14	Physical assets management (PAM) indicators	
15	Policy and planning indicators (DPPD)	59
16	Reproductive health indicators	65
17	Tuberculosis indicators	80

1 General interpretation guide

Epidemiology is the study and analysis of the patterns, causes, and effects of health and disease conditions in defined populations. It relies on careful interpretation to control for biases inherent in data collection and information systems. This General Interpretation Guide gives an overview of some of the most common biases and guidelines for interpreting data drawn from the Health Management Information System (HMIS) system. Further, for each indicator within the full document, additional interpretation guidance is given.

Challenges with using HMIS-based indicators to estimate population prevalence or incidence

All HMIS-based indicators depend on the quality and completeness of reporting. Using HMIS-based indicators to measure prevalence and/or incidence in the population will likely lead to underestimation, as reporting rates are rarely 100%. For indicators based upon facility-based HMIS registers, these indicators are dependent upon healthcare seeking practices and healthcare access in the population.

Current HMIS Indicator Baselines

The Ministry uses a comprehensive and integrated health management information system (HMIS) to collect and report on routine health services and disease data. Data is recorded in specially designed registers as health workers are providing services. At the end of each month, data from the registers is compiled, aggregated and reported on a monthly basis using both programme-specific reports (e.g. Maternity, ANC, etc.) and composite reports (HMIS 15 for health centres and hospitals; HMIS 17 for central hospitals)

Baselines were calculated using both HMIS 15 and programme-specific reports when available. Differences in reporting rates result in varying baseline values. While the reporting rate for HMIS 15 is roughly 95%, reporting rates for programme-specific reports vary widely. As coverage for each programme report reaches 80%, those programme data elements will be removed from HMIS 15 and will be only included in the programme reports. Eventually indicators will be calculated using programme reports only.

Population-based estimates for HMIS-based indicators

Many of the HMIS-based indicators use population estimates in their denominator. The accuracy of these indicators depends on the accuracy of the population estimates. These estimates are most likely to be accurate soon after a census but decrease in accuracy over time; they are also less accurate for small geographic areas. Inaccuracies in estimating the population can lead to over or underestimates. For example, coverage rates of over 100% are possible if estimates of the target population are too low. These errors should be explored and corrected when possible.

Impact of under-reporting from both private and public health facilities

While private health facilities are supposed to report into the HMIS system, the degree to which this happens is inconsistent; the same is sometimes true for public facilities. When an HMIS-based indicator aims to assess prevalence in the general population (e.g. malaria incidence) or coverage of a service in the general population (e.g. immunization), under-reporting from facilities will likely lead to lower estimates. The denominator will be based on population projections for the entire population, but the numerator will only include what is captured in HMIS reports. HMIS reporting rates are shown where possible, giving an indication of the degree of under-estimating.

For example, if the indicator looks at the quality of care among those who attend facilities (e.g. IPTp >3 times during ANC), the indicator will be representative only of those facilities reporting and not necessarily all women who have had an ANC visit. Similarly, if road traffic deaths are presented per 100,000 in the population, but reporting rates are low, then the indicator likely represents a proportionately low estimate. As reporting from both private and public facilities improves, this will no longer be a limitation.

Impact of the use of Malawi health facilities by people of other nationalities

Eighteen of Malawi's twenty-eight districts border either Mozambique, Zambia, or Tanzania. In these and even other districts, not everyone who seeks care in Malawian health facilities are Malawian. This may lead

to the overestimation of coverage as individuals from neighboring countries may receive care and thus be included in the numerator, while they will not be captured in the population projections used as the denominator. Coverage of over 100% is possible in this situation.

In summary, several biases may lead to underestimates, overestimates, or may have little effect. Also, several factors may influence estimates simultaneously, with sometimes differing effects. These potential biases should be taken into consideration when interpreting each indicator for which they apply.

2 Description of included information for each indicator

_	
Unique Identifier (code)	All indicators will be assigned a code which references the program
Indicator name	A brief description of the indicator gives a general sense of what is being
	measured
Indicator Definition	A detailed description of the indicator. After reading the definition, you
	should understand what the indicator is measuring and what units it uses
	(e.g. percent, per 1,000 live births)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	This indicates whether this indicator (or a similar one) was part of HSSP I, the
100; SDG)	WHO Global Reference List of 100 Core Health Indicators, or the Sustainable
	Development Goals
Numerator	A detailed description of the numerator
Numerator source	Source of information for the numerator. If a survey, it should specify which
(primary; reporting form)	one(s). If from the HMIS system, this will give both the register and the
(1)	reporting form
Denominator	A detailed description of the denominator
Denominator source	Source of information for the denominator.
Method of calculation	The simple description of the calculation used to produce the indicator
Calculation (HMIS)	Only relevant for indicators available in DHIS 2. This states how the indicator
(,	should be calculated in DHIS 2, including the names of the forms and the data
	elements, providing guidance to DHIS 2 programmers. This ensures indicators
	are programmed according to calculations that are standard and transparent.
Lowest administrative	This is the lowest administrative unit (health facility, district, etc.)
level	recommended for disaggregation that should be measured as part of the
level	national health indicator process. (Note that while facility disaggregation is
	possible for many coverage indicators, it may not make sense for this process)
Disaggregation	Aside from administrative level, how the indicator should be disaggregated.
Disaggiegation	This needs to be specific, e.g. if disaggregated by age, what age groups.
Reporting frequency	The frequency with which the indicator should be measured as part of the
Reporting frequency	·
	NHI process. (Note: survey indicators cannot be measured more frequently
	than the survey is conducted; HMIS indicators may be collected monthly, but
	as part of the NHI process, it is recommended to report them annually unless
Ballanda	there is clear reason to track them more frequently.)
Rationale	The reason this indicator is important
Notes for interpretation	Provides information useful to understanding what the values of the indicator
	means. Includes quality issues and other potential biases. This is
	supplemented by general guidance on interpreting HMIS indicators
Custodian of the indicator	Programme responsible for the indicator
M&E framework level	Input, output, outcome or impact
Baseline / recent	The most recent available data on an indicator. For indicators that have
estimates	values from multiple sources, several sources are shown to provide more
	context
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	Targets for where this indicator should be at different phases in the HSSP II
	implementation. Targets should be ambitious but achievable

3 Child health indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Children under five years of age with diarrhoea receiving oral rehydration salts
marcator name	(ORS) packets (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of children under five with diarrhoea in the past two weeks
marcator Deministr	receiving oral rehydration salts (ORS) packets
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of children under five years with diarrhoea in the past two weeks
	receiving ORS
Numerator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Number of children under 5 years with diarrheoa in the past two weeks
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator*100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA /
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	3 – 5 years
Rationale	Dehydration caused by severe diarrhoea is a major cause of morbidity and
	mortality among young children. Oral rehydration therapy is a simple and
	effective response to dehydration. Oral rehydration salts are pre-packaged
	mixtures of sodium and glucose designed to reduce the severity and length of
	illness.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator measures the proportion of mothers that treated their under
	five children suffering from diarrhoea with ORS solution. Mothers were asked
	if their child had a diarrhoea episode in the past two weeks, and, if so, whether
	the child was given ORS solution during the episode. The indicator may be
	influenced by recall bias. Further, mothers who have received education
	around ORS may feel social pressure (known as social desirability bias) to
	report using it regardless of actual behavior. However, a positive trend in the
	indicator is indicative of correct knowledge and practice in mothers to treat
	diarrhoea with simple and effective means.
Custodian of the indicator	Child Health
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	64.7% (DHS 2015-16)
	63.5% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	70%; 79% (2020) (Malawi Child Health Strategy 2014 – 2020)

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percentage of under-1 year-old children fully immunized (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Proportion of children who received a vaccination against tuberculosis (BCG),
	two doses of Rotavirus vaccine (Rota), three doses of DPT-HepB-Hib (Penta),
	three doses of polio vaccine after the initial dose at birth (Polio III), three doses
	of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV), and one dose of measles vaccine, as
	measured between 12-23 months of age.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Total number of children age 12 -23 months who have received all required
	under-one vaccinations as listed in the definition
Numerator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of children from 12-23 months surveyed
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator *100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	3 to 5 Years
Rationale	Vaccination is one of the most cost-effective ways to improve child survival.
	Vaccine preventable diseases (targeted by the routine immunization
	programmes) are major causes of childhood morbidity and mortality.
Notes for interpretation	The DHS survey uses children's health passports and other records to
	determine if children 12 -23 months received vaccinations before the survey,
	relying on properly filled health cards. When cards were not available (for 15%
	of children in the 2015 DHS), mothers were asked which vaccines their child
	had received and the number of doses of each, with potential for recall bias.
	Additionally, differences in the percentages of children without vaccination
	cards across survey years may impact the ability to compare survey results
	across years or populations. Similar methods were used for the MDG
	Endline/MICS Survey.
	*The baseline value from 2014 MDG Endline/MICS Survey is markedly lower
	than the 2015 DHIS results, because around the time of the survey
	(2011/2012), Malawi had introduced two additional vaccines (Rotavirus and
	Pneumococcal) and availability and updake during the 2013 survey year were
	low.
Custodian of the indicator	Child Health
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	71.3% (DHS 2015 – 2016)
	38.5% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)*
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	88%; 90%; 92%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percentage of under-1 year-old children fully immunized (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Proportion of children who received a vaccination against tuberculosis (BCG), two doses of Rotavirus vaccine (Rota), three doses of DPT-HepB-Hib (Penta), three doses of polio vaccine after the initial dose at birth (Polio III), three doses of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV), and one dose of measles vaccine during the first year of life.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; No; Yes
Numerator	Total number of children who have been fully immunized according to list in the definition during the first year of life
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Under 2 Register; EPI Health facility monthly vaccination performance and disease surveillance report or HMIS 15*
Denominator	Estimated under-1 midyear population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator *100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: HMIS 15 ("HMIS # Fully Immunized under 1 Children") Or Numerator: Vaccination Performance and Disease Surveillance (EPI) ("CHD EPI
	Children Under 1, Static" + "CHD EPI Fully Immunized Children Under 1, Outreach")
	Denominator: Target Population ("CMED- Under 1 Population")
	*The use of HMIS 15 for this indicator will be phased out when reporting rates for the EPI report exceed 80%.
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Vaccination is one of the most effective and cost-effective ways to improve child survival. Vaccine preventable diseases (targeted by the routine immunization programmes) are major causes of childhood morbidity and mortality.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator is based upon the Malawian EPI program's definition of fully immunized, as outlined in the definition. Health services records are the ideal source of this indicator; however, given the current quality of reporting, survey results are likely more accurate.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
	Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	Child Health
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	63.9% (DHIS2, 2015, HMIS 15 dataset, 94.6% reporting rate) 42.5% (DHIS2, 2015, EPI dataset, 59.6% reporting rate
	(- ,,

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Neonatal mortality rate (NMR) (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Number of deaths during the first 28 days of life per 1000 live births in the last
	5 years
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of infants who died during the first 28 days of life in the 5 years
	preceding the survey
Numerator source (primary;	Survey (DHS, MICS)
reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of live births to women surveyed in 5 years preceding the survey
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 1,000
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Age (≤ 7 days, >7 days);
	Sex
Reporting frequency	3 - 5 years
Rationale	Mortality during the neonatal period accounts for a large proportion of child
The control of the co	deaths. They can be prevented by effective prepregnancy, antenatal, delivery
	and postnatal care to women and proper care to newborns. This indicator
	measures the quality of these services.
Notes for interpretation	NMR is a measure of access to health care before pregnancy, and during
	pregnancy (ANC), delivery, and the postnatal period. As measured by the DHS
	survey, neonatal mortality rates cover the last 5 years and therefore may not
	reflect recent programmatic interventions.
	There may also be issues with recall bias, resulting in women giving the wrong
	timing of death and age misclassification. Additinally, given the sensitivity of
	these events, some may not choose to disclose information regarding neonatal
	deaths.
	As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of
	this indicator.
Custodian of the indicator	Child Health/Reproductive Health
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	27 per 1,000 live births (DHS 2015-16)
	29 per 1,000 live births (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	26 per 1,000; 24 per 1,000; 22 per 1,000

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Institutional neonatal mortality rate (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Number of deaths during the first 28 completed days of life per 1000 live
	births, as reported in HMIS, in a given period.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; No; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of infants who died during the first 28 days of life in health facilities
Numerator source	Maternity Register; Maternity Monthly Report
(primary; reporting form)	(Note: This data is also captured in the Maternal and Neonatal Death Report)
Denominator	Total number of live births recorded in the same period in health facilities
Denominator source	Maternity register (Note: This data is also captured in HMIS 15)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 1,000
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Maternity Monthly Clinic Health Facility Report ("RHD MAT
	Newborn Survival/PMTCT Alive Neonatal Death ")
	Denomination Materiality Clinic Monthly Denomina Forms ("DID MAT Novel one
	Denominator: Maternity Clinic Monthly Reporting Form ("RHD MAT Newborn Survival/PMTCT Alive not HIV Exp + RHD MAT Newborn Survival/PMTCT Alive
	Exp no NVP + RHD MAT Newborn Survival/PMTCT Alive NVP Started + RHD
	MAT Newborn Survival/PMTCT Alive Unknown Exp + RHD MAT Newborn
	Survival/PMTCT Alive Neonatal Death")
	Or
	Denominator: HMIS 15 ("HMIS Total # of Live birth") + HMIS17 Monthly
	Reporting Form ("HMIS17 Live birth")
Lowest administrative level	Health facility
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Mortality during the neonatal period accounts for a large proportion of child
	deaths. Some can be prevented by effective antenatal, delivery and postnatal
	care to women and proper care to newborns. This indicator measures the
	quality of these services at the facility level.
Notes for interpretation	The institutional NMR captures facility-based neonatal deaths only and gives
	an indication of the quality of care received during ANC, delivery, and the
	postnatal period. The neonatal period is 0-28 days, however infants are
	typically discharged within the first day or two of life; because deaths that
	occur after discharge are not captured, institutional neonatal mortality rates
	are expected to be less than population-based estimates. In addition, some
	neonatal deaths may be captured in either the Helping Babies Breathe or
	Kangaroo Mother Care registers that are not also included in the maternity
	register. Further, missclassification between stillbirths and neonatal deaths is
	common, and may also lead to underreporting of neonatal deaths. As data
	quality and care-seeking behavior for sick neonates increase, observed
	neonatal mortality rates reported may actually increase. As the civil
	registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of this indicator.
	Comparing across facilities can be difficult as this indicator is effected by both
	the quality of care and the types of cases that are seen in the facility. For
	example, referal hospitals which offer a higher quality of care may still have a
	higher NMR because they see more complicated cases.
	Hadayranayting from private and mublic clinics may alter action to *
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* *See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	
	Child Hoalth / Ponroductive Health
M&E framework level	Child Health/Reproductive Health Impact

Baseline / recent estimates	12.3 per 1,000 live births (DHIS2, 2015; neonatal deaths from maternity
	reporting form (95.6% reporting rate); live births from HMIS 15 and HMIS 17
	(94.6% and 16.7% reporting rate respectively))
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	NA

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Infant mortality rate (IMR) (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Probability of a child born in a specific year or period dying before reaching
	the age of one year, if subject to age-specific mortality rates of that period.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of infants who died before their first birthday in the five years
	proceeding the survey
Numerator source (primary;	Survey (DHS, MICS)
reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of live births in the five years preceding the survey to women
	surveyed
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 1,000
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Sex;
	Age (Neonatal, Postneonatal)
Reporting frequency	3- 5 years
Rationale	Infant mortality rates measure child survival. They are impacted by the social,
	economic and environmental conditions in which children (and others in
	society) live and their access to health care. Further, they are easier to collect
	than data on specific disease incidence (morbidity) and are an important way
	to identify vulnerable populations.
Notes for interpretation	As measured by both the MJCS and DHS surveys, infant mortality rates cover
	the last 5 years and may not reflect current rates.
	These data are often underestimates due to failure to recall or report deaths.
	Further, misclassification of age or age-heaping can occur, as mothers may
	misremember birthdays or be more likely to say that a child died at 12 months
	of age than 11.5 months.
	of age than 11.5 months.
	As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of
	this indicator.
Custodian of the indicator	Child Health/Reproductive Health
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	42 per 1,000 live births (DHS 2015-16)
	53 per 1,000 live births (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	40 per 1,000; 37 per 1,000; 34 per 1,000

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Under-five mortality rate (U5MR) (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Probability of a child born in a specific year or period dying before reaching the age of five, if subject to age-specific mortality rates of that period.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Number of deaths of children under five years in the five years proceeding the survey
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Denominator	Total number of live births in the five years preceding the survey to women surveyed
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 1,000
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Age (0-11 months; 1- 4 years)
Reporting frequency	3 - 5 years
Rationale	Child mortality (under 5 years of age) represents a large proportion of deaths under age 18, making it a very useful indicator of child survival and an important way to identify the most vulnerable groups. Under-five mortality rates are impacted by the accessibility of health care, education, poverty, and environmental risks such as safe water and sanitation.
Notes for interpretation	As measured by both the MICS and DHS surveys, under-5 mortality rates cover the last 5 years and may not reflect current rates. Under-5 mortality data from surveys is more reliable than infant mortality data because it is less impacted by age misclassification. As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of this indicator.
Custodian of the indicator	Child Health
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	64 per 1,000 live births (DHS 2015-16) 85 per 1,000 live births (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	64 per 1,000; 55 per 1,000; 48 per 1,000

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Penta III coverage (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of the target population (under-1) that has received the last recommended dose for Pentavalent vaccine (Penta III) as recommended in the national schedule of vaccination
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; No
Numerator	Number of surveyed children age 12 -23 months who have received the last (third) dose of pentavalent vaccine
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Denominator	Total number of children from 12-23 months surveyed
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Sex
Reporting frequency	3 -5 Years
Rationale	Immunization is one of the most well-known and effective methods of preventing childhood diseases. Pentavalent vaccine protects children from 5 life-threatening diseases – Diphtheria, Pertussis, Tetanus, Hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenza type B (Hib). Each child is expected to receive 3 doses of pentavalent vaccine at 6, 10 and 14 weeks respectively. Penta III coverage is an indicator of access to, and utilization and continuity of services at health facility level.
Notes for interpretation	Penta III coverage is an indicator of access to immunization services. It is also used to indicate the continuity of vaccination services in a community.
	The DHS survey uses the child health passport and other records to determine if children 12 -23 months received vaccinations before the survey, relying on properly filled health cards. When cards were not available (for 15% of children in the 2015 DHS), mothers were asked which vaccines their child had received and how many doses of each, with potential for recall bias. Similar methods were used for the MDG Endline survey. Additionally the percentage of children without vaccination cards may impact the ability to compare survey results across years or populations.
Custodian of the indicator	Child Health (EPI)
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	93% (DHS 2015-16) 90.5% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	95%; 97%; 99%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Pentavalent III coverage (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of the target population (under-1) that has received the last recommended dose for Pentavalent vaccine (Penta III) as recommended in the national schedule of vaccination
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; No
Numerator	Number under-1 children that received the last dose (third dose) of pentavalent vaccine according to the recommended national schedule of vaccination
Numerator source	Under 2 Register; Health Facility Monthly Vaccination and Disease
(primary; reporting form)	Surveillance Report (EPI), or HMIS 15
Denominator	Estimated mid-year under-1 population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Vaccination Performance and Disease Surveillance (EPI) ("CHD EPI DPTHepBHib3 Childhood Vaccination Under 1, Static" + "CHD EPI DPTHepBHib3 Childhood Vaccination Under , Outreach") OR
	Numerator: HMIS 15 ("HMIS # of Under 1 Children Given Pentavalent - III")
	Denominator: Target Population ("CMEDUnder 1 Population")
	*The use of HMIS 15 for this indicator will be phased out when reporting rates for the EPI report exceed 80%.
Lowest administrative level	Health facility
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Immunization is one of the most well-known and effective methods of preventing childhood diseases. Pentavalent vaccine provides protection to a child from 5 life-threatening diseases – Diphtheria, Pertussis, Tetanus, Hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenza type B (Hib). Each child is expected to receive 3 doses of pentavalent vaccine at 6, 10 and 14 weeks respectively. Penta III coverage is an indicator of access, utilization of services and continuity of services at health facility level
Notes for interpretation	Healthcare service records are the ideal source of this indicator; however, given the current quality of reporting, survey results are likely more accurate.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
	Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	Child Health (EPI)
M&E framework level	Outcome
- u	66.3% (DHIS2, 2015; HMIS 15 dataset 94.6% reporting rate)
Baseline / recent estimates	45.0% (DHIS2, 2015; EPI data set 59.6% reporting rate)

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	% of 1-year-old children immunized against measles (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of the target population (under-1 children) that has received at
	least one measles dose as recommended in the national schedule of
	vaccination
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of surveyed children age 12 -23 months who have received measles
	vaccination
Numerator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of children from 12-23 months surveyed
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Sex
Reporting frequency	3 -5 Years
Rationale	Measles is a highly contagious disease that can lead to blindness, encephalitis,
	or death. Measles can be prevented with immunization of children under the
	age of one. Measles vaccine is the last vaccine that under-1 children receive
	before attaining fully immunized status. Its monitoring provides an
	opportunity to implement appropriate interventions to improve full
	immunization coverage.
Notes for interpretation	The DHS survey uses child health passport and other records to determine if
	children 12 -23 months received vaccinations before the survey, relying on
	properly filled health cards. When cards were not available (for 15% of
	children in the 2015 DHS), mothers were asked which vaccines their child had
	received and how many doses of each, with potential for recall bias. Similar
	methods were used for the MDG Endline survey. Additionally the percentage
	of children without vaccination cards may impact the ability to compare
	survey résults across years or populations.
Custodian of the indicator	Child Health (EPI)
M&E framework level	Oútcome
Baseline / recent estimates	91.2% (DHS 2015-16)
	85.1% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	92%; 93%; 94%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	% of 1-year-old children immunized against measles (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of the target population (under-1 children) that has received measles dose as recommended in the national schedule of vaccination
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; No
Numerator	Number of under-1 children that received the first dose of measles vaccination according to the recommended national schedule of vaccination
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Under 2 Register; Health Facility Monthly Vaccination and Disease Surveillance Report (EPI), or HMIS 15
Denominator	Estimated mid-year under-1 population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Vaccination Performance and Disease Surveillance (EPI) ("CHD EPI Measles Childhood Vaccination Under 1, Static" + "CHD EPI Measles Childhood Vaccination Under 1, Outreach") OR Numerator: HMIS 15 ("HMIS # of Under 1 Children Given Measles 1st doses at 9M")
	Denominator: Target Population ("CMED Under 1 Population")
	*The use of HMIS 15 for this indicator will be phased out when reporting rates for the EPI report exceed 80%.
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None
Danastina for	
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Annual Measles is a highly contagious disease that can lead to blindness, encephalitis or death. Measles can be prevented with immunization of children under the age of one. Measles vaccine is the last vaccine that under-1 children receive before attaining fully immunized status. Its monitoring provides an opportunity to implement appropriate interventions to improve full immunization coverage.
	Measles is a highly contagious disease that can lead to blindness, encephalitis or death. Measles can be prevented with immunization of children under the age of one. Measles vaccine is the last vaccine that under-1 children receive before attaining fully immunized status. Its monitoring provides an opportunity to implement appropriate interventions to improve full
Rationale	Measles is a highly contagious disease that can lead to blindness, encephalitis or death. Measles can be prevented with immunization of children under the age of one. Measles vaccine is the last vaccine that under-1 children receive before attaining fully immunized status. Its monitoring provides an opportunity to implement appropriate interventions to improve full immunization coverage. In theory facility records are the ideal source of this indicator; however, given the current quality of reporting, survey results are likely more accurate. Note: in 2017 the vaccine was changed from measles alone to the measles and
Rationale	Measles is a highly contagious disease that can lead to blindness, encephalitis or death. Measles can be prevented with immunization of children under the age of one. Measles vaccine is the last vaccine that under-1 children receive before attaining fully immunized status. Its monitoring provides an opportunity to implement appropriate interventions to improve full immunization coverage. In theory facility records are the ideal source of this indicator; however, given the current quality of reporting, survey results are likely more accurate. Note: in 2017 the vaccine was changed from measles alone to the measles and rubella vaccine.
Notes for interpretation	Measles is a highly contagious disease that can lead to blindness, encephalitis or death. Measles can be prevented with immunization of children under the age of one. Measles vaccine is the last vaccine that under-1 children receive before attaining fully immunized status. Its monitoring provides an opportunity to implement appropriate interventions to improve full immunization coverage. In theory facility records are the ideal source of this indicator; however, given the current quality of reporting, survey results are likely more accurate. Note: in 2017 the vaccine was changed from measles alone to the measles and rubella vaccine. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines
Notes for interpretation Custodian of the indicator	Measles is a highly contagious disease that can lead to blindness, encephalitis or death. Measles can be prevented with immunization of children under the age of one. Measles vaccine is the last vaccine that under-1 children receive before attaining fully immunized status. Its monitoring provides an opportunity to implement appropriate interventions to improve full immunization coverage. In theory facility records are the ideal source of this indicator; however, given the current quality of reporting, survey results are likely more accurate. Note: in 2017 the vaccine was changed from measles alone to the measles and rubella vaccine. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.*
Notes for interpretation Custodian of the indicator M&E framework level	Measles is a highly contagious disease that can lead to blindness, encephalitis or death. Measles can be prevented with immunization of children under the age of one. Measles vaccine is the last vaccine that under-1 children receive before attaining fully immunized status. Its monitoring provides an opportunity to implement appropriate interventions to improve full immunization coverage. In theory facility records are the ideal source of this indicator; however, given the current quality of reporting, survey results are likely more accurate. Note: in 2017 the vaccine was changed from measles alone to the measles and rubella vaccine. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines Child Health (EPI) Outcome
Notes for interpretation Custodian of the indicator	Measles is a highly contagious disease that can lead to blindness, encephalitis or death. Measles can be prevented with immunization of children under the age of one. Measles vaccine is the last vaccine that under-1 children receive before attaining fully immunized status. Its monitoring provides an opportunity to implement appropriate interventions to improve full immunization coverage. In theory facility records are the ideal source of this indicator; however, given the current quality of reporting, survey results are likely more accurate. Note: in 2017 the vaccine was changed from measles alone to the measles and rubella vaccine. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines Child Health (EPI)

4 Clinical services indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	EHP Coverage
Indicator Definition	The percentage of facilities that are able to deliver the minimum package of EHP
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; No; No
Numerator	Number of facilities meeting EHP standard
Numerator source	Reports from departments;
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of health facilities
Denominator source	SPA survey
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Facility type, ownership
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	One of the goals of the Ministry of Health is to improve access and equity in health care services delivery. To achieve this, the ministry introduced the essential health package (EHP) — a minimum list of cost effective preventive and clinical interventions covering disease conditions that effect most Malawians. The EHP is provided at primary and secondary level of care. All public health facilities in the country should be able to provide this essential health package. Tracking the number and location of facilities unable to provide this minimum service is critical to determine service delivery gaps. The current basic health package includes provision of the following services at primary and secondary levels of care: Antenatal care Family planning Delivery services including caesarean section at secondary level only; Essential vaccine package Prevention, diagnosis and treatment of uncomplicated and complicated malaria IMCI package (treatment of pneumonia and diarrhea with ORS and Zinc; treatment of severe diarrhea with IV fluids Community health package
	 NTDs (Schisto mass drug administration) HIV & AIDS prevention (CPT for children and PMTCT), testing and treatment (all ages) Nutrition (Vitamin A supplementation to children and pregnant
	women, de-worming and management of severe malnutrition in children TB (including treatment and retreatment for TB, MDR case management and isonized prevention therapy for children
Notes for interpretation	This indicator looks at whether basic services are available at facilities. However, it does not assess whether the facilities have adequately trained staff, equipment or basic amenities needed to provide high quality service.
Custodian of the indicator	Clinical Services/Planning and Policy
M&E framework level	Output
WISE HUMEWORK IEVEL	σιτραι

Baseline / recent estimates	73.25% (Departments and Programmes self report, 2017)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	75%; 77%; 80%;



Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Outpatient service utilization (OPD visits per 1,000 population)
Indicator Definition	Number of outpatient department visits per 1 000 population per year
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; No; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	The number of visits to health facilities for outpatient care, not including immunization
Numerator source	Outpatient register; HMIS 15 Monthly Reporting Form, HMIS 17 Monthly
(primary; reporting form)	Reporting Form
Denominator	Estimated mid-year population for the same geographical area
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator * 1,000/total population
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: HMIS 15("HMIS # of OPD Attendance") + HMIS17 Monthly Reporting Form ("HMIS17 OPD total attendance")
	Denominator: Target Population ("CMED Total Population")
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Age: (<5 yrs, ≥5 yrs)
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	In addition to utilization, this indicator measures the availability and quality of
	outpatient services as people are more likely to attend outpatient clinics
	when barriers to entry are eliminated (cost, distance) and when they feel that
	they receive quality services.
	In addition, this indicator provides a measure of the patient load in a health facilities OPD that can be used for planning.
Notes for interpretation	In general, rising numbers indicate greater access to services. However, after a certain threshold, rising rates no longer indicate increased access and may indicate a lack of inpatient beds or other services.
	The indicator does not include visits at village clinic level where under fives are treated for fever, diarrhoea, and suspected pneumonia.
	Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
	Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	Clinical Services
M&E framework level	Output
Baseline / recent estimates	1,046 visits per 1,000 population (DHIS2, 2015, 94.6% Reporting rate HMIS
baseline / recent estimates	15; 16.7% Reporting rate HMIS 17)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	≥1,100; ≥1,100; ≥1,100
6000 (2010, 2020, 2022)	,,,

5 CMED indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Completeness of reporting by facilities
Indicator Definition	Percentage of facilities that submit reports within the required deadline.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of reports received within a given time period
Numerator source	DHIS; DHIS Reporting Rates
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of reports expected in the period
Denominator source	DHIS
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	N/A This is an automatically generated report from the DHIS2, accessed
	through the Reports Module, Reporting Rate Summary
Lowest administrative level	Health Facility
Disaggregation	Facility type (Primary, Secondary, Tertiery);
	Managing authority (Public, Private, CHAM)
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	This indicator provides information about the percentage of missing reports in
	each period, providing a sense of the completeness of data in the DHIS 2
	system. Additionally, reports submitted more than 3 months late are not
	counted. This indicator is important for improving the monitoring system to
	ensure that it is generating complete data for timely action and feedback.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator combines timeliness (reports must be submitted within 3 months of the deadline) and completeness. Because of this, it may underestimate completeness of reporting if districts or health facilities report more than three months late.
	This indicator can help interpret the other indicators generated by the DHIS 2 system, providing information as to whether the system is collecting complete and timely information.
	The indicator currently reflects only the reporting rate of HMIS 15, which is a composite multi-programme report. Programme-specific reporting rates tend to be far lower.
Custodian of the indicator	CMED
M&E framework level	Output
Baseline / recent estimates	94.5% for HMIS 15 (DHIS2, 2015)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	99%; 99%; 99%

6 DHTSS - Pharmacy

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percent of facilities reporting stock-outs of essential tracer medicines
Indicator Definition	Percent of health facilities that report a stock-out in any of the essential tracer
	medicines
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of health facilities with a stock-out of any tracer medicine
Numerator source	LMIS
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of health facilities
Denominator source	LMIS
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	N/A
Lowest administrative level	
Disaggregation	
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Availability and access to medicines is a key component of a successful health
	system. Uninterrupted supply of medicines is critical for the successful
	treatment of disease and prevents drug resistance and unnecessary deaths.
Notes for interpretation	Stock outs of essential medicines and supplies are indicative of a problem with
	the supply chain management at the various stages such as procurement and
	distribution. Stock outs of medicines and supplies on the essential list are an
	emergency and should be treated as such, and a continuous supply of
	medicines is critical to personal and public health. All causes of stock out
	should be identified and rectified. The information on stock outs is however
	limited. This indicator is a measure of access to essential medicines.
	In the Logistic Management Information System (LMIS), the primary source of
	data on drug availability and stock outs is the stock card. Each drug in the
	pharmacy has a stock card which tracks movements/events pertaining to the
	drug like drug deliveries, drug issues and adjustments on a daily basis or as
	when needed. At the end of the month, information on drug availability and
	stock outs is compiled and transferred to LMIS forms which are sent to the
	district pharmacy for data entry into the LMIS database.
	The state of the s
	Tracer medicines and supplies are the following:
	LA 6x1; LA 6x4; Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Test kits; Artesunate Injection Comp. Malaria Sulphata 50% 2nd area sulp. Malaria and area.
	60mg; Magnesium Sulphate 50% 2ml ampoule; Male condoms;
	Medroxyprogesterone acetate injection, 150mg/ml (Depoprovera);
	Oxytocin 10 IU/ml, 1ml; Amocycillin 125mg/5ml suspension; Oral
	rehydration salt, sachet (WHO formula) for 1L solution; Tetracycline Eye
	Ointment 1%, 3.5g/5mg; Gentamicin 40mg/ml, 2ml; Benzylpenecillin 3g (5MU), PFR; Determine HIV Test kits; Tenofovir (TDF) + Lamuvidine
	(3TC)+ Efavirenz (EFV), 300+300+600mg, 30's (5A); RH 60/60;
	Streptomycin 1g; Cotrimixazole 480mg; Dextrose (glucose) 5%, 500ml;
	Diazepam 5mg/ml, 2ml; Glove disposable powdered latex large, 100
	pieces; Glove disposable powdered latex medium, 100 pieces; Glove
	surgeon's size 7 ½ sterile, pair; Metronidazole 200mg; Sodium Chloride
	injectable 0.9% 500ml; Syringe, autodestruct, 2ml, disposable hypoluer
	with 23g needle; Syringe, autodestruct, 5ml, disposable hypoluer with
	21g needle; Amoxycillin 250mg

Custodian of the indicator	DHTSS (Pharmaceuticals)
M&E framework level	Input
Baseline / recent estimates	20%, (National Pharmaceutical Strategic Plan 2016 – 2020)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	5%; 5%; 5% (National Pharmaceutical Strategic Plan 2016 – 2020)

7 Environmental health indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percentage of households with access to improved water source (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of households with access to an improved water sources (piped
	water, public tap or standpipe, tube well or borehole, and protected well or
	spring)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of households with access to improved water source
Numerator source	Survey (DHS/MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of households surveyed
Denominator source	Survey (DHS/MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	Region
Disaggregation	Population: rural, urban;
Reporting frequency	3-5 years
Rationale	Contaminated drinking water is a major cause of diarrheal disease and
	therefore childhood mortality. Access to an improved water source is a proxy
	measure for access to safe drinking water. Improved water sources are those
	that by their design are less likely to be exposed to external contaminants.
Notes for interpretation	Increasing trends of this indicator should be associated with decreasing trends
	in diarrhoeal and other water-borne diseases such as cholera. However, access
	to an improved source of water does not guarantee that the water is safe to
	drink. Surveys such as DHS and MICS also ask respondents about their water
	treatment. Further, this indicator does not indicate the amount of water
	available nor the distance/time required to fetch the water. Finally, the MICS
	survey measures the percentage of people who use an improved water
	sources, while the DHS measures the percentage of households (consistent
	with the indicator definition).
	In contrast to the HMIS indicator, the survey-based indicator measures self-
	reported use by the population rather than simply potential access.
Custodian of the indicator /	Environmental Health (Water and Sanitation)
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	87% (DHS 2015-16)
basemie, recent estimates	86.2% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	87%, 91%, 95%
1418013 (2010, 2020, 2022)	0770, 0470, 0070

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percentage of households with access to improved water source (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of households with access to an improved water source (piped
marcator Bernntion	water, public tap or standpipe, tube well or borehole, and protected well or
	spring)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of households with access to improved water source
Numerator source	Health Surveillance Assistant (HSA's) WASH report; Water, Sanitation and
(primary; reporting form)	Hygiene Reporting Form at district level*, HMIS 15 (*Not in DHIS)
Denominator	Total number of households in the catchment area
Denominator source	Environmental Health District Report
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: HMIS 15 ("HMIS # of Households with Access to Safe Drinking Water")
	Denominator: Environmental Health District Report ("ENVT EH # of Households in District")
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Population: rural, urban;
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Contaminated drinking water is a major cause of diarrheal disease, one of the
	major causes of childhood mortality. Access to an improved water source is a
	proxy measure for access to safe drinking water. Improved water sources are
	those that by their design are less likely to be exposed to external contaminants.
Notes for interpretation	Increasing trends of this indicator should be associated with decreasing trends
Notes for interpretation	in diarrhoeal and other water-borne diseases such as cholera. However, access
	to an improved source of water does not guarantee that the water is safe to drink. Surveys such as DHS and MICS also ask respondents about their water
	treatment. Further, this indicator does not indicate the amount of water available nor the distance/time required to fetch the water.
	In contrast to survey-based measures, measures based on administrative data
	do not ask about use, and therefore may include water sources that are not
	functional or not actually used by the population.
	It is worth noting, the numerator is pulled from the HMIS 15 monthly report
	while the denominator is from the Environmental Health District Report (bi-
	annual).
Custodian of the indicator	Enviromental Health (Water and Sanitation)
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	58% (DHIS2, 2015); calculated using estimated of number of households, as
	reporting rate for the Environmental Health District Report is lower than the
T (2040, 2020, 2022)	reporting rate for HMIS 15 causing calculation errors
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	NA

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percentage of households with access to improved sanitation (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of households with access to a connection to a public sewer,
	connection to a septic system, pour flush latrine, simple pit latrine with a slab,
	or ventilated, improved pit latrine that is not shared with another household.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Total number of households with access to improved sanitation
Numerator source	Surveys (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of households surveyed
Denominator source	Surveys (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	
Lowest administrative level	Region
Disaggregation	Population: rural, urban;
Reporting frequency	3 – 5 years
Rationale	Access to an improved sanitation facility is a proxy for access to basic
	sanitation. It can reduce the incidence of diarrheoa-related diseases in children
	by more than 30%. In Malawi, the Preventive Health Department, through
	community health workers (HSAs), provides interventions that aim at
	improving water and sanitation practices in the community.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator measures the proportion of the population that has access to
	improved sanitation that is not shared with other households. Unlike the
	HMIS-based indicator, the survey-based indicator measures what people
	actually use. However, it will not be as responsive to recent interventions since
	it is only measured every few years. Unlike the HMIS version, the survey
	version of the indicator explicitly excludes those who share facilities with other
	households (the HMIS version counts them, but only for the household on
	whose property they sit), making it likely that the survey-based indicator will
	be lower than the HMIS version.
	Note that the MICS survey measures the percentage of <i>people</i> who have
	access to improved sanitation while the DHS measures the percentage of
	households, as per the definition of the indicator.
Custodian of the indicator	Enviromental Health (Water and Sanitation)
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	51.8% (DHS 2015-16)
	40.6% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	65%; 75%; 85%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percentage of households with access to improved sanitation (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of households with access to a connection to improved sanitation
	(a public sewer, connection to a septic system, pour flush latrine, simple pit
	latrine with a slab, ventilated, improved pit latrine, or ecosan).
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Total number of households owning and using improved sanitation
Numerator source	Health Surveillance Assistant (HSA's) WASHreport; Water, Sanitation and
(primary; reporting form)	Hygiene Reporting Form at district level*
Denominator	Total number of households in the catchment area
Denominator source	Environmental Health District Report
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Environmental Health District Report ("ENVT EH of Households
	Owning And Using Improved Sanitary Facilities")
	Denominator: Environmental Health District Report ("ENVT EH # of Households
	in the District")
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Population: rural, urban;
	Improved latrine type (sanplat, impermeable floor with drop hole cover, flush
	toilet, composting toilet (ecosan))
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Use of an improved sanitation facility is a proxy for access to basic sanitation. It
	can reduce the incidence of diarrheoa-related diseases in children by more
	than 30%. In Malawi, the Preventive Health Department, through community
	health workers (HSAs), provides interventions that aim at improving water and
	sanitation practices in the community.
Notes for interpretation	Survey is the preferred method of data collection for this indicator because
	surveys measure the types of facilities people use rather than what is present
	in the community (and yet not used). In between surveys, this information will
	be obtained from community health workers to provide a general picture of
	the situation that can be used for short term planning.
	While some people may share an improved facility with another household,
	only households with an improved sanitation facility on their premises will
	actually be counted here.
Custodian of the indicator	Enviromental Health (Water and Sanitation)
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	13.9% (DHIS2, 2015)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	NA

8 Epidemiology indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	International Health Regulations (IHR) core capacity index
Indicator Definition	Percentage of the 13 core capacities that have been attained at a specific point
	in time. The 13 core capacities are: (1) National legislation, policy and financing;
	(2) Coordination and National Focal Point communications; (3) Surveillance; (4)
	Response; (5) Preparedness; (6) Risk communication; (7) Human resources; (8)
	Laboratory; (9) Points of entry; (10) Zoonotic events; (11) Food safety; (12)
	Chemical events; (13) Radionuclear emergencies.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Number of core capacities attained
Numerator source	WHO monitoring questionnaire;
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of core capacities
Denominator source	WHO monitoring questionnaire
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator *100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA /
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Yearly (IHR core capacity monitoring framework**), 2-3 years (Full IHR Core
	Capacity Assessment)
Rationale	Malawi (along with the 196 other WHO member states) is a party to the
	International Health Regulations (IHR, 2005), which require countries to have
	the capacity to detect, assess and report major public health events of
	international concern to WHO. The index measures a country's capacity in 13
	areas in order to assess whether the country is able to fulfill the requirements
	of the IHR.
Notes for interpretation	Data for calculating the IHR is mostly obtained through the use of a self-
	administered questionnaire developed by the WHO. Once completed, the
	questionnaire is returned to WHO which provides a score. Some of the data
	reported maybe subjective and therefore should be interpreted with caution
Custodian of the indicator	Epidemiology
M&E framework level	Output
Baseline / recent estimates	50% - IHR self-monitoring questionnaire (2014), National IHR core capacity assessment (2015)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	60%; 80%; 100%

9 HIV / AIDS indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	HIV incidence
Indicator Definition	Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years in adults aged 15 – 49
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	The estimated total number of adults (15-49 years) newly infected, diagnosed
	and undiagnosed, with HIV in a given year.
Numerator source	Spectrum
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total adult population (15-49 years) not infected at the start of the same year.
Denominator source	Spectrum
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	Sex
Reporting frequency	2 Years
Rationale	HIV and AIDS is a major public health problem in Malawi, with approximately
	9% of adults aged 15-49 living with HIV in 2015. Monitoring the number of new
	HIV infections is important to assess the success of HIV prevention efforts, to
	understand where to target future prevention efforts, and to plan for future
	HIV care and treatment.
Notes for interpretation	Estimates of HIV incidence are created using the Spectrum software. These
	estimates take into account program data on HIV prevention and treatment
	programs, HIV prevalence information from surveys, and demographic data.
	Estimations rely on assumptions grounded in the scientific literature and will
	always have a degree of uncertainty (as reflected by the confidence limits
	around the estimates).
	Estimates are updated annually – both for the current year and for past years.
	Trends should not be analyzed comparing different sets of estimates, but
	should always use the most recently updated version.
Custodian of the indicator	Epidémiology
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	•
Zasamie / reserie estimates	for the Health Sector)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	2.6 per 1,000 person years; 2.2 per 1,000 person years; 2.0 per 1,000 person
	years (2020)
	100.0 (-0-0)

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	ART coverage among known HIV-infected pregnant women at ANC
Indicator Definition	Percent of known HIV-infected pregnant women at ANC provided with ART
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Total number of HIV-infected pregnant women already on ART plus HIV
	infected women starting ART during pregnancy
Numerator source	ANC Register; ANC Report or Maternity Register; Maternity Monthly report
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Estimated number of HIV-infected pregnant women
Denominator source	Spectrum
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator*100
Calculation (HMIS)	N/A
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Without intervention, approximately one-third of infants born to HIV-infected
	mothers will aquire HIV infection. Provision of ART to pregant women living
	with HIV is one of the key strategies to reduce transmission of HIV from mother
	to child during pregnancy, delivery and breastfeeding. Malawi's PMTCT
	programme aims to provide lifelong ART to all HIV-infected pregnant women.
	The indicator will be used to track progress toward elimination of mother-to-
	child transmission; to inform policy and strategic planning; for advocacy; and
	for leveraging resources. It will help measure trends in coverage of
	antiretroviral prophylaxis and treatment.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator captures pregnant women who were started on ART during ANC,
	labour and delivery (or who were on ART before pregnancy). It does not
	capture whether or not the infant also received PMTCT or cases where only the
	infant received it. Further, it cannot measure whether women actually
	consumed the ART or adhered to their suggested regimen.
	Because the denominator is the estimated number of HIV-infected pregnant
	women, this indicator measures both whether HIV-infected pregnant women
	are identified and provision of services to women know to be HIV-infected.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*See
Controlling of the dealers	General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	HIV AIDS Unit
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	85% (Malawi Integrated HIV Program Report 2016_Q4)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	85%; 85%; 85% (2020; National HIV/AIDS Strategic Plan 2015 - 2020)

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) coverage
Indicator Definition	Number and percent of adults and children living with HIV currently receiving
	antiretroviral combination therapy in accordance with the nationally approved
	treatment protocols (WHO/UNAIDS standards) among the estimated number
	of adults and children living with HIV
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of eligible adults and children currently receiving antiretroviral
	therapy in accordance with the nationally approved treatment protocol (or
	WHO standards) at the end of the reporting period
Numerator source	ARV treatment register; Intergrated Supervision Reporting form
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Estimated number of HIV-infected children and adults
Denominator source	Spectrum
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Antiretroviral therapy (ART) has been shown to reduce mortality among
<u> </u>	Antiretroviral therapy (ART) has been shown to reduce mortality among people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90
<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
<u> </u>	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90
<u> </u>	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by
Rationale	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal.
Rationale	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal. Because the denominator is an estimation of the total population living with
Rationale	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal. Because the denominator is an estimation of the total population living with HIV [confirm depending on cut-off], the measure represents the percent of all
Rationale	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal. Because the denominator is an estimation of the total population living with HIV [confirm depending on cut-off], the measure represents the percent of all HIV+ people on who are ART, regardless of whether their status is known. Additionally, the indicator is sensitive to the quality of the estimates and may be affected if the estimation model changes over time. Because the estimates
Rationale	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal. Because the denominator is an estimation of the total population living with HIV [confirm depending on cut-off], the measure represents the percent of all HIV+ people on who are ART, regardless of whether their status is known. Additionally, the indicator is sensitive to the quality of the estimates and may be affected if the estimation model changes over time. Because the estimates of people living with HIV have uncertainty bounds, this indicator does too.
Rationale	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal. Because the denominator is an estimation of the total population living with HIV [confirm depending on cut-off], the measure represents the percent of all HIV+ people on who are ART, regardless of whether their status is known. Additionally, the indicator is sensitive to the quality of the estimates and may be affected if the estimation model changes over time. Because the estimates of people living with HIV have uncertainty bounds, this indicator does too. The indicator does not distinguish between different ART regimens or provide
Rationale Notes for interpretation	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal. Because the denominator is an estimation of the total population living with HIV [confirm depending on cut-off], the measure represents the percent of all HIV+ people on who are ART, regardless of whether their status is known. Additionally, the indicator is sensitive to the quality of the estimates and may be affected if the estimation model changes over time. Because the estimates of people living with HIV have uncertainty bounds, this indicator does too.
Rationale Notes for interpretation Custodian of the indicator	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal. Because the denominator is an estimation of the total population living with HIV [confirm depending on cut-off], the measure represents the percent of all HIV+ people on who are ART, regardless of whether their status is known. Additionally, the indicator is sensitive to the quality of the estimates and may be affected if the estimation model changes over time. Because the estimates of people living with HIV have uncertainty bounds, this indicator does too. The indicator does not distinguish between different ART regimens or provide insight on the quality of care. HIV AIDS Unit
Rationale Notes for interpretation Custodian of the indicator M&E framework level	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal. Because the denominator is an estimation of the total population living with HIV [confirm depending on cut-off], the measure represents the percent of all HIV+ people on who are ART, regardless of whether their status is known. Additionally, the indicator is sensitive to the quality of the estimates and may be affected if the estimation model changes over time. Because the estimates of people living with HIV have uncertainty bounds, this indicator does too. The indicator does not distinguish between different ART regimens or provide insight on the quality of care.
Rationale Notes for interpretation Custodian of the indicator	people living with HIV. Malawi has embraced UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets and aims to place 90% of people living with HIV on ART by 2020. This indicator will measure the progress toward this ambitious goal. Because the denominator is an estimation of the total population living with HIV [confirm depending on cut-off], the measure represents the percent of all HIV+ people on who are ART, regardless of whether their status is known. Additionally, the indicator is sensitive to the quality of the estimates and may be affected if the estimation model changes over time. Because the estimates of people living with HIV have uncertainty bounds, this indicator does too. The indicator does not distinguish between different ART regimens or provide insight on the quality of care. HIV AIDS Unit

10 Human resource indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Health worker density and distribution
Indicator Definition	Number of health workers per 10,000 population
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of health workers per cadre
Numerator source	IHRIS, Medical Council of Malawi; Nurses and Midwives Council of Malawi
(primary; reporting form)	registries; SPA survey as alternative source
Denominator	Estimated mid-year population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 10,000
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Cadre type (Doctor, Clinical officer, Medical Assistant, Nurse-Midwives, Medical
	technician, Environmental Health Officer, Hospital attendant)
	Sector (Public, private, NGO, etc.)
Reporting frequency	Public sector: Annual
	Private and NGO sectors: per HR census schedule
Rationale	Preparing the health workforce to meet a country's health objectives is a major
	challege of the health system. The 2006 World Health Report estimated that
	countries with fewer than 23 physicians, nurses and midwives per 10 000
	population fail to achieve adequate coverage of critical primary health care
	interventions. Currently Malawi faces an acute shortage of health workers. This
	indicator provides information on the number and availability of health workers
	in relation to population size. It is used to monitor whether the size and
	specialties of the current workforce meets the threshold required for the
	provision of most basic levels of health care (EHP) coverage in a country.
Notes for interpretation	Counts of workers outside the public sector (i.e., private, non-governmental,
'	community-based) rely on the HR census which is conducted very infrequently
	(last measured in 2005 and will be conducted again in 2017).
	While this indicator measures the availability of service providers, it does not
	take into account whether they are equally spaced across the population,
	whether the services they provide are free or affordable, or the quality of care
	they provide/training they received.
Custodian of the indicator	Human Resource
M&E framework level	Input
Baseline / recent estimates	Across all facilities, regardless of ownership
	 Doctors – 0.4 (All) and 0.21 (Government) per 10,000(all);
	 Nurses (all nurses and midwives) 8.3 (All) and 3.44 (Government) per
	10,000;
	 Clinical Officers – 0.7 (All) and 0.82 (Government) per 10,000;
	 Medical Assistant – 0.6 (All) and 0.76 (Government) per 10,000;
	 HSA – 0.82 per 1000 population (Government)
	Sources Medical Council of Malausi December 2016, Nursea and Midwissa
	Sources: Medical Council of Malawi, December 2016, Nurses and Midwives
Targets (2010: 2020: 2022)	Council of Malawi December 2016, iHRIS, 2017
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	Government only
	Doctors: 0.2 (447); 0.3 (625); 0.4 (804);
	Nurses : 4.2 (7,559); 5.1 (9,814); 5.9 (12,070)

Clinical Officer: 0.86(1,506); 0.87(1,668); 0.90(1,831)
Medical Assistant: 0.77(1,378); 0.79(1,504); 0.80(1,630)

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Health centres that meet minimum staffing norms
Indicator Definition	Percent of health centres that meet minimum staff norms to meet EHP requirements
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; No; No
Numerator	Number of health centres meeting the minimum staffing norm
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Number of health centres
Denominator source	DHIS2
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	N/A
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Facility ownership
Reporting frequency	Public sector: Annual
Rationale	This minimum staff norm is the basic requirement for provision of basic health package (BHP). All health centres must meet this minimum requirement.
Notes for interpretation	Minimum staffing norms for providing EHP services at health centres include: 1 medical personnel (doctor, clinical officer or medical assistant) 2 Nurse-Midwives 1 Medical Technician 1 Environmental Health Officer 2 Hospital Attendants
Custodian of the indicator	Human Resource
M&E framework level	Output
Baseline / recent estimates	Not available
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	Not available

11 Malaria indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Malaria parasite prevalence among children 6-59 months
Indicator Definition	Proportion of children aged 6-59 months with confirmed malaria infection
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of children aged 6-59 months with malaria infection detected by
	microscopy
Numerator source	Surveys (MIS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of children aged 6-59 months tested for malaria parasites by
	microscopy
Denominator source	Surveys (MIS)
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	N/A
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	Sex;
	Age
Reporting frequency	Every 2 years
Rationale	Malaria is endemic in Malawi. Malaria microscopy tests detect both clinical and
	subclinical malaria (i.e. where parasites are present without showing signs and
	symptoms of any infection). The presence of malaria parasites in a child's
	blood, whether symptomatic or asymptomatic, can lead to transmission and
	morbidity. Knowing the prevalence of malaria parasites is needed for planning
	prevention and treatment measures.
Notes for interpretation	Decreasing trends in parasite prevalence may indicate successful prevention
	and control strategies, however given seasonal variations in malaria prevalence
	rates, it is important to compare data across time from comparable seasons
	(e.g. June 2014 and June 2015).
Custodian of the indicator	NMCP
M&E framework level	Impact /
Baseline / recent estimates	33% MIS 2014
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	28%, 24%, 20%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Inpatient malaria deaths
Indicator Definition	Inpatient malaria deaths per 100,000 persons in the population
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of inpatient malaria deaths in the last year
Numerator source	Ward Register; Malaria Health Facility Reporting Form (MHFRF), HMIS 17, IMCI
(primary; reporting form)	Village Clinic Monthly Consolidated Report
Denominator	Estimated mid-year population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100,000
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Malaria Health Facility Monthly Report ("NMCP IPD Total Malaria
	Deaths <5Yrs " + NMCP IPD Total Malaria Deaths >5Yrs" + "HMIS17 Malaria
	Under 5 years Deaths" + "HMIS17 Malaria 5 years and older Deaths" + "CHD
	IMCI mRDT Positive Deaths 5-35M" + "CHD IMCI mRDT Positive Deaths 36-
	59M")
	Denominator: Target Population "CMED Total Population"
	(Note: Data on inpatient malaria deaths is also captured in IDSR and IMCI)
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Age (<5, 5+);
	Diagnosis (presumed, confirmed)
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	In the absence of complete data on the number of all deaths due to malaria,
	measuring inpatient deaths provides the best way to track malaria deaths over
	time. This indicator reflects the overall performance of the National Malaria
	Control Programme to deliver effective interventions. Death rates due to
	malaria will decline if malaria incidence declines. They will also decline due to
	effective and high quality malaria case management that prevents severe
	malaria cases and reduces malaria mortality.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator measures the impact of malaria interventions at population
	level. However, it is likely to underestimate the death rate as only people who
	died at a facility are included in the numerator. Trends in inpatient malaria
	deaths are expected to align with those for the number of confirmed malaria
	cases and any differences should be investigated to see if real or based on
, and the second	changes in reporting.
	As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of
	this indicator. In addition, with a fully functional CRVS system, this indicator
	need not be limited to inpatient deaths. Note: the baseline is measured using
	HMIS 15 reporting form, but this has been phased out going forward.
	Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
	Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.*
Custodian of the indicate:	*See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	NMCP
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	23 per 100,000 (Malaria Reporting Form, 70.5% reporting)
	22 per 100,000 (HMIS 15 + HMIS 17,DHIS2, 2015; 94.6% reporting rate HMIS

	15; 16.7% reporting rate HMIS 17)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	20 per 100,000; 17 per 100,000; 14 per 100,000

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Malaria incidence rate (presumed and confirmed)
Indicator Definition	Number of presumed and confirmed reported malaria cases per 1000 persons per year
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Number of malaria cases (presumed or confirmed)
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Outpatient Register, Ward Register, Village clinic register; Malaria Health Facility Reporting Form (MHFRF), IMCI Village Clinic Monthly Consolidated Report, HMIS 15, HMIS 17
Denominator	Estimated mid-year population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 1000
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Confirmed cases: Malaria Health Facility Monthly Report ("NMCP OPD Confirmed Malaria Cases through Microscopy <5Yrs" + "NMCP OPD Confirmed Malaria Cases through Microscopy >5Yrs" + ("NMCP OPD Confirmed Malaria Cases through RDT <5Yrs" + ("NMCP OPD Confirmed Malaria Cases through RDT <5Yrs" + ("NMCP OPD Confirmed Malaria Cases through RDT <5Yrs" + "NMCP IPD Suspected Malaria Cases < 5 Yrs" + "NMCP IPD Suspected Malaria Cases > 5Yrs" + "NMCP IPD Confirmed Malaria Cases <5Yrs" + "NMCP IPD Confirmed Malaria Cases <5Yrs" + "IMCI Village Clinic Monthly Consolidated Report ("CHD IMCI mRDT Positive New Cases 2 – 4M" + "IMCI Village Clinic Monthly Consolidated Report ("CHD IMCI mRDT Positive New Cases 5 – 35M" + "IMCI Village Clinic Monthly Consolidated Report ("CHD IMCI mRDT Positive New Cases 36 – 59M") [*mRDT Positive is a summation of mRDT Positive for new cases, mRDT Positive for referrals with dangers signs, mRDT Positive for referrals made because of drug stockout, and mRDT Positive deaths. According to IMCI, this is incorrect. First, those referred with danger signs are not tested with mRDT, to avoid delays in referrals, and therefore there should be no data that shows positive mRDT among those referred with danger signs. Further those referred because of drug stockout or those who have died are also counted as 'new
	cases' and should not be added to these values as this results in double-counting.] Presumed cases:
	Numerator: OPD and Ward registers are being reviewed to include presumed malaria - needs to be added when available.
	*Note – Use of HMIS 15 to report on Malaria has been discontinued, but is used in the baseline.
	Denominator: Target Population "CMED Total Population"
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Sex; Age (<5; 5+); Diagnosis (presumed and confirmed)
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Malaria is endemic throughout Malawi and continues to be a major public health problem, with an estimated six million cases occurring annually (NMCP, 2010a). Incidence represents the burden of disease and success of prevention measures. It also provides needed information to health planners to estimate

	-
	needs for future malaria control, treatment, and prevention.
Notes for interpretation	Because this is a facility-based measure, it only includes cases where patients
	sought medical care. However, because cases may be counted both in
	outpatient and inpatient wards, double-counting may occur. Additionally,
	while people transferred from the village clinic to health facility are not
	supposed to be retested, if they are, it will lead to double counting. Presumed
	cases may also include malaria-like illnesses that are not truly malaria. Malaria
	cases are also reported through IDSR.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
	Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.*
	*See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	NMCP
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	304 per 1,000 population (DHIS2, 2015, HMIS15, HMIS17 & Village clinic
	reports; 94.6% reporting rate HMIS15; 16.7% reporting rate HMIS17; 83.8%
	reporting rate Village clinic summary)
	242 per 1,000 population (DHIS2, 2015, Malaria report, HMIS 17 & Village clinic
	report; 70.5% reporting rate Malaria report)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	320 per 1000; 260 per 1000; 200 per 1000

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Use of insecticide-treated nets (ITN)
Indicator Definition	Percentage population in malaria endemic areas who slept under an ITN the
	previous night
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of people in malaria endemic areas who slept under an ITN the
	previous night in surveyed households
Numerator source	Surveys (MIS, DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of people in malaria endemic areas who spent the previous night
	in surveyed households
Denominator source	Surveys (MIS, DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	Age (<5, 5+); Type of area (Urban, Rural); Pregnant women
Reporting frequency	2 - 5 years
Rationale	Promotion of insecticide-treated nets is a primary prevention strategy to
	reduce malaria transmission in Malawi. This indicator allows for monitoring the
	success of this strategy, particularly in high-risk populations such as children
	under 5 and pregnant women.
Notes for interpretation	Since malaria is seasonal, usage of bednets may be higher during periods of
	high malaria transmission. Caution should be used in interpreting surveys that
	were conducted at different times of year.
Custodian of the indicator	NMCP
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	MIS 2014: 67% Under 5, 62% Pregnant Women, 53% All;
	DHS 2015-16: 44.7% Under 5, 46.7% Pregnant Women
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	75%; 80%; 85%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Intermittent preventive therapy for malaria during pregnancy (IPTp) (Survey-
	based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of women who received three or more doses of intermittent
	preventive treatment during antenatal care visits during their last pregnancy
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of eligible pregnant women receiving three or more doses of
	intermittent preventive treatment for malaria during antenatal care visits in
	two years precending the survey
Numerator source	Surveys (MIS, DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of women age 15-49 with a live birth in the two years preceding
	the survey
Denominator source	Surveys (MIS, DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	2 – 5 years
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with
	substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high
	transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often
	asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere
	with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the
	fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent
	preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of
	antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care
	visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of
	intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies
	to prevent malaria in pregnancy.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending
	three or more visits, and ANC quality of care.
	This survey-based indicator measures IPTp administration among only live
	births, unlike facility-based measures which include all pregnant women
	captured in ANC. Further, it may be subject to recall bias, as it surveys women
	with deliveries in the prior two years. Since malaria can cause miscarriage or
	stillbirth, it is likely that looking only at live births will overestimate IPTp.
Custodian of the indicator	NMCP
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	30% (DHS, 2015)
	19.3 (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	40%; 50%; 60%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Intermittent preventive therapy for malaria during pregnancy (IPTp) (HMIS-
	based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of women who received three* or more doses of intermittent
	preventive treatment during antenatal care visits during their last pregnancy
	*Policy being updated from two to three doses (2017)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of eligible pregnant women (not on cotrimoxazole prophylactic
	treatment (CPT)) receiving three or more doses of intermittent preventive
	treatment for malaria during antenatal care visits
Numerator source	ANC Register; Antenatal monthly reporting form
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of pregnant women attending at least one ANC visit (total
	number of women in the cohort) minus pregnant women on cotrimoxazole
	prophylactic treatment (CPT)
Denominator source	ANC Register
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: ANC Clinic Monthly Report ('ANC Received 2x3 SP tabs'); ('ANC
	Received 3x3 SP tabs') once policy updated
	Denominator: ANC Clinic Monthly Report [('ANC Total with 1 visit' + 'ANC Total
	with 2 visits' + 'ANC Total with 3 visits' + 'ANC Total with 4 visit' + 'ANC Total
	with 5+ visits') – 'ANC Women on CPT')] or ['ANC Tot. women in total' – 'ANC
	Women on CPT']
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Reporting frequency Rationale	Annual Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with
	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with
	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high
	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often
	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent
	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of
	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care
	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of
	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy
	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending three or more visits, and ANC quality of care.
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending three or more visits, and ANC quality of care. As a proxy measure for the population percentage, it likely overestimates IPTp
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending three or more visits, and ANC quality of care. As a proxy measure for the population percentage, it likely overestimates IPTp coverage as women not in ANC are not included. If triangulated with the
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending three or more visits, and ANC quality of care. As a proxy measure for the population percentage, it likely overestimates IPTp coverage as women not in ANC are not included. If triangulated with the survey-based measure of the percentage of pregnant women receiving ANC care, one could estimate the prevalence of all pregnant women receiving IPTp.
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending three or more visits, and ANC quality of care. As a proxy measure for the population percentage, it likely overestimates IPTp coverage as women not in ANC are not included. If triangulated with the survey-based measure of the percentage of pregnant women receiving ANC care, one could estimate the prevalence of all pregnant women receiving IPTp. Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2. Underreporting
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending three or more visits, and ANC quality of care. As a proxy measure for the population percentage, it likely overestimates IPTp coverage as women not in ANC are not included. If triangulated with the survey-based measure of the percentage of pregnant women receiving ANC care, one could estimate the prevalence of all pregnant women receiving IPTp. Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending three or more visits, and ANC quality of care. As a proxy measure for the population percentage, it likely overestimates IPTp coverage as women not in ANC are not included. If triangulated with the survey-based measure of the percentage of pregnant women receiving ANC care, one could estimate the prevalence of all pregnant women receiving IPTp. Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
Notes for interpretation	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending three or more visits, and ANC quality of care. As a proxy measure for the population percentage, it likely overestimates IPTp coverage as women not in ANC are not included. If triangulated with the survey-based measure of the percentage of pregnant women receiving ANC care, one could estimate the prevalence of all pregnant women receiving IPTp. Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
Rationale	Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major public health problem, with substantial risks for the mother, her fetus, and the neonate. In high transmission areas such as Malawi, malaria in pregnant women is often asymptomatic, but is frequently associated with anaemia and can interfere with the maternal-foetal exchange, leading to complications for the fetus/infant such as low birthweight, anaemia, and fetal death. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy is a full therapeutic course of antimalarial medicine given to pregnant women at routine antenatal care visits, regardless of whether the recipient is infected with malaria. Provision of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria (IPTp) is one of the key strategies to prevent malaria in pregnancy This indicator is a measure of women's access to ANC, adherence to attending three or more visits, and ANC quality of care. As a proxy measure for the population percentage, it likely overestimates IPTp coverage as women not in ANC are not included. If triangulated with the survey-based measure of the percentage of pregnant women receiving ANC care, one could estimate the prevalence of all pregnant women receiving IPTp. Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*

<u>-</u>	66% (IPTp for ≥2 doses of SP, to be updated once reporting form captures IPTp for ≥3 doses of SP) DHIS2, 2015; ANC Reporting form 90.7% reporting rate
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	NA

12 Non-communicable diseases indicators

12 Non commune	I
Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Road traffic accident mortality rate
Indicator Definition	Number of road accident deaths per 100,000 population (health facility-based proxy indicator)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; No
Numerator	Number of road traffic accident deaths recorded at health facility
Numerator source	Outpatient, emergency department, male ward, female ward, and chidren's
(primary; reporting form)	ward registers; Noncommunicable Disease Reporting Form; HMIS 15
Denominator	Estimated mid-year population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 1000
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Noncommunicable Diseases (NCD) Reporting form ("NCD Deaths From Road Traffic Accidents Male" + "NCD Deaths From Road Traffic Accidents Female") OR HMIS 15 form ("HMIS # of Road Accidents - inpatient death") + HMIS 17 ("HMIS17-Road Traffic Accidents Deaths")
	Denominator: Target Population Form ("Year - Total population") *The use of HMIS 15 for this indicator will be phased out when reporting rates for the NCD report exceed 80%.
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None;
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Road safety is a major concern in Malawi. According to the Global Burden of
	Disease Study, road traffic injuries were the 10th largest contributor to premature mortality. Road traffic deaths are influenced by the number of accidents, the severity of the accidents, the time to reach a health facility, and the availability of effective care at the health facility.
Notes for interpretation	premature mortality. Road traffic deaths are influenced by the number of accidents, the severity of the accidents, the time to reach a health facility, and the availability of effective care at the health facility. Baseline data is based on global estimates. In the HMIS system, road traffic deaths are limited to those recorded at the health facility. Since many deaths from road traffic injuries occur outside of the facility (e.g. dying at the accident site or after discharge from a facility), they are unlikely to be included in the numerator and therefore this will underestimate the actual road traffic accident mortality rate. Further, trends in mortality may reflect changes in the actual rate or changes in the rate at which fatalities are recorded. Additional data for more robust estimates may be available from the police. Optimal data for this indicator is a fully functioning civil registration system with high quality cause of death data. As Malawi's system is expanded and improved, measurement of this indicator should switch. Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.*
	premature mortality. Road traffic deaths are influenced by the number of accidents, the severity of the accidents, the time to reach a health facility, and the availability of effective care at the health facility. Baseline data is based on global estimates. In the HMIS system, road traffic deaths are limited to those recorded at the health facility. Since many deaths from road traffic injuries occur outside of the facility (e.g. dying at the accident site or after discharge from a facility), they are unlikely to be included in the numerator and therefore this will underestimate the actual road traffic accident mortality rate. Further, trends in mortality may reflect changes in the actual rate or changes in the rate at which fatalities are recorded. Additional data for more robust estimates may be available from the police. Optimal data for this indicator is a fully functioning civil registration system with high quality cause of death data. As Malawi's system is expanded and improved, measurement of this indicator should switch. Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines
Notes for interpretation Custodian of the indicator M&E framework level	premature mortality. Road traffic deaths are influenced by the number of accidents, the severity of the accidents, the time to reach a health facility, and the availability of effective care at the health facility. Baseline data is based on global estimates. In the HMIS system, road traffic deaths are limited to those recorded at the health facility. Since many deaths from road traffic injuries occur outside of the facility (e.g. dying at the accident site or after discharge from a facility), they are unlikely to be included in the numerator and therefore this will underestimate the actual road traffic accident mortality rate. Further, trends in mortality may reflect changes in the actual rate or changes in the rate at which fatalities are recorded. Additional data for more robust estimates may be available from the police. Optimal data for this indicator is a fully functioning civil registration system with high quality cause of death data. As Malawi's system is expanded and improved, measurement of this indicator should switch. Central Hospital Data (HMIS 17) currently limited within DHIS2. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.*

Baseline / recent estimates	2.1 per 100,000 population (DHIS2, 2015; NCD dataset at 16.7% reporting rate
	summary
	1.1 per 100,000 population (DHIS2, 2015; HMIS 15 dataset at 94.6% reporting
	rate summary)
	5.7 per 100,000 population (WHO estimate, 2013)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	5.4; 4.9; 4.1 per 100,000 (based on WHO estimate)

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Suicide mortality rate
Indicator Definition	Number of suicide related deaths per 100 000 population (health facility-based
	proxy indicator)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Total number of suicide deaths recorded at health facility
Numerator source	Outpatient, emergency department, male ward, female ward, and chidren's
(primary; reporting form)	ward registers; NCD Reporting form
Denominator	Estimate mid-year population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100,000
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: NCD Reporting form ("NCD Deaths From Suicide Male" + "NCD Deaths From Suicide Female")
	Denominator: Target Population Form ("CMED Total population")
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Sex
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Suicide is a serious public health problem and the second most common cause of death globally among youth 15 - 29 years old. Suicide may be the result of
	mental health disorders such as anxiety and depression, and is often more
	common in marginalized groups. Knowing the suicide mortality rate can help
	monitor and inform suicide prevention efforts.
Notes for interpretation	Using the HMIS system, the suicide rate is likely to be under-reported as most
	suicides occur in the community and are never reported to the health
	facilities. Additional data for more robust estimates may be available from the
	police.
	The optimal data source for this indicator is a fully functioning civil registration
	system with high quality cause of death data. As Malawi's system is expanded and improved, measurement of this indicator should switch.
	and improved, measurement of this indicator should switch.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
	Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.*
	*See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	Noncommunicable diseases and mental health
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	0.3 per 100,000 (DHIS2, 2015; NCD dataset at 16.7% reporting rate summary)
	(Note: 16 per 100,000 (WHO, 2012))
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	14 per 100,000; 12 per 100,000; 10 per 100,000

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Probability of premature death from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes,
	or chronic respiratory diseases
Indicator Definition	Unconditional probability of dying between the exact ages of 30 and 70 years
	from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes or chronic respiratory diseases.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of deaths between ages 30 and 70 years due to the four causes.
Numerator source	NA
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Number of years of exposure
Denominator source	NA
Method of calculation	Lifetable
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	As data is available
Rationale	Globally, cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes and chronic respiratory
	diseases are together the leading cause of death among people under 70.
	While this is not yet true in Malawi, the rate of premature mortality due to
	NCDs is expected to rise. This indicator allows for the monitoring of this new
	epidemic as well as the success of NCD prevention efforts.
Notes for interpretation	The optimal data source for this indicator is a fully functioning vital registration
	system with high quality cause of death data. The present baseline is based on
	estimates from WHO estimates extrapolated from regional data. As Malawi's
	vital registration system improves and expands, the indicator will be measured
	using the vital registration system rather than estimates
Custodian of the indicator	Noncommunicable diseases and mental health
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	19% (WHO NCD Profile, 2014)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	15.2%; 11.4%; 7.6%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Prevalence of heavy episodic drinking among adults
Indicator Definition	Percentage of adults (15+ years) who have had at least 60 grams or more of
	pure alcohol on at least one occasion in the past 30 days (approximately
	equivalent to 6 standard alcoholic drinks)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; No; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	The number of respondents (15+ years) who reported drinking 60 grams or
	more of pure alcohol in the past 30 days
Numerator source	STEPS Survey
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of people 15+ years surveyed responding to the corresponding
	question in the survey plus abstainers
Denominator source	STEPS survey
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator x 100
Calculation (HMIS)	N/A
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	Sex
Reporting frequency	5 years (depending on survey)
Rationale	Harmful use of alcohol is one of the risk factors contributing to premature
	mortality and disability globally. High alcohol intake increases the risk of CVD,
	cancer, injuries, and liver disease among others. Prevalance of heavy episodic
	drinking is one of the indicators that provides information regarding patterns
	of alcohol consumption. It highlights the proportion of the population which
	consumes high levels of alcohol at single occasions and therefore at higher risk
	of experiencing acute effects of alcohol related harm but also experiencing
	developing chronic health complications
Notes for interpretation	The baseline data for the indicator was based on the STEPS survey in 2009
	which defined heavy drinking as ≥5 drinks for men and ≥4 drinks for women.
	Additionally, the survey only included adults from 25 – 64 years of age.
	Potential limitations include the fact that participants may be reluctant to
	report heavy drinking on a survey leading to under-reporting. Additionally, the
	question relies on a common understanding of the size of a standard drink.
Custodian of the indicator	Noncommunicable Diseases and Mental Health
M&E framework level	
I THISE IT WITH CAROLICACI	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	Outcome 19% male; 2.3% female STEPS Survey 2009

Unique Identifier (code)	NCD
Indicator name	Tobacco use among persons aged 18+ years
Indicator Definition	Age-standardized prevalence of current tobacco use among persons aged 18+
	years
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of current tobacco users aged 18+ years. "Current users" include both
	daily and non-daily users of smoked or smokeless tobacco.
Numerator source	Survey (STEPS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	All respondents of the survey aged 18+ years
Denominator source	Survey (STEPS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	Sex
Reporting frequency	5 years (depending on survey)
Rationale	Use of tobacco is one of the main risk factors for non-communicable diseases,
	increasing the risk of lung cancer, cardiovascular disease, chronic obstructive
	pulmonary disease and many others. Monitoring rates of tobacco use allows
	countries to monitor progress toward tobacco control and NCD prevention.
Notes for interpretation	The optimal data source for this indicator is survey data, either from a GATS or
	a STEPS survey; however, the present baseline is based the 2009 STEPS survey
	and may be outdated.
Custodian of the indicator	Noncommunicable diseases and mental health
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	14% (2009 STEPS survey)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	14%; 12%; 10%

13 Nutrition indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Vitamin A supplementation coverage (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of children 6–59 months who received at least one age-
	appropriate dose of vitamin A in the past 6 months
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; No
Numerator	Number of living children 6 to 59 months who received vitamin A
	supplements in the six months preceding the interview
Numerator source	Survey (DHS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Number of living children 6 to 59 months of age
Denominator source	Survey (DHS)
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator*100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Age (6-11 months; 12-59 months)
Reporting frequency	5 years
Rationale	Vitamin A deficiency can cause blindness and increase the risk of severe illness and mortality from childhood infections such as measles and disarrheal
	disease. Periodic vitamin A supplementation (usually every six months) is a key strategy to increase child survival and decrease under-5 mortality.
Notes for interpretation	In the DHS survey, mothers are asked whether their children under 5 received
	vitamin A supplementation in the last six months. The results may be subject
	to recall bias if mothers do not remember when their children last received
	Vitamin A supplements or do not know whether they received it.
Custodian of the indicator	Nutrition
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	64.1% DHS 2015-16
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	99%; 99%; 99%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Vitamin A supplementation coverage (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of children 6–59 months who received at least one age-
	appropriate dose of vitamin A in the past 6 months
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; No
Numerator	Number of children 6 to 59 months old given at least one dose of vitamin A
	supplements in the past six months
Numerator source	Under 2 Register
(primary; reporting form)	2-5 Register and special campaign data; Health facility monthly vaccination
	perfomance and disease surveillance report
Denominator	Estimated midyear population of 6 to 59 month olds (assumption is this
	represents 16.5% of the total population 16.5%)
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator*100
Calculation (HMIS)	For routine:
	Numerator: EPI – Health Facility Monthly Vaccination Performance and
	Disease Surveillance Report ("CHD EPI Vitamin A number of Supplemented
	Monthly 6-11 Months Static" + "CHD EPI Vitamin A number of Supplemented
	12 - 59 Months Outreach")
	Denominator: CMED Population 6-59 months
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Method of delivery (campaign, routine)
Reporting frequency	Every 6 months
Rationale	Vitamin A deficiency can cause blindness and increase the risk of severe
	illness and mortality from childhood infections such as measles and disarrheal
	disease. Periodic vitamin A supplementation (usually every six months) is a
	key strategy to increase child survival and decrease under-5 mortality.
Notes for interpretation	Many children in Malawi receive vitamin A through special campaigns rather
	than through routine use of health services Currently, campaign data is not
	consistently added into DHIS2 leading to under estimates At the moment,
	this indicator presents data on vitamin A from routine sources only, therefore
	it can be difficult to determine the true proportion of children who received
	vitamin A. However there are plans to add campaign data into DHIS2 in order
	to fully understand vitamin A supplementation coverage.
	Routine supplementation represents positive health seeking behaviour by
	mothers who bring their children for Vitamin A supplementation while
	campaign supplementation on the other hand is a health intervention by the
	health system.
	health system.
	health system. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	health system.
	health system. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
Custodian of the indicator	health system. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.*
Custodian of the indicator M&E framework level	health system. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines
	health system. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines Nutrition
M&E framework level	health system. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines Nutrition Outcome

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Stunting prevalence (under-five, survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of children under 5 with moderate or severe stunting (height-for-age < -2 standard deviations of the WHO Child Growth Standards median) among children under five
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Number of stunted children under five
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Denominator	Total number of surveyed children under five
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Sex;
	Age (0-5, 6-11, 12-23, 24-59 months);
	Severity (severe, moderate)
Reporting frequency	3 – 5 years
Rationale	Lack of adequate nutrition is a key driver of child mortality, making children more susceptible to disease. Children more than 2 standard deviations shorter than the median height in the WHO reference population are considered to be stunted (or too short for their age). Stunting is a measure of long-term exposure to undernutrition and poor health. It is especially influenced by conditions during the first two years of life.
Notes for interpretation	Stunting prevalence is a measure of population child health. In a well-nourished population, the prevalence is approximately 2.5%. When the prevalence is higher than this it is an indication of undernutrition in the child population.
Custodian of the indicator	Nutrition
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	37% (DHS 2015-16)
	42.4% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	35%; 33%; 31%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Wasting prevalence (under-five, survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of children under 5 with moderate or severe wasting (weight-for-height <-2 standard deviations of the WHO Child Growth Standards median)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Number of wasted children under five
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Denominator	Total number of surveyed children under five
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Sex;
	Age (0-5, 6-11, 12-23, 24-59 months);
	Severity (severe, moderate)
Reporting frequency	3 – 5 years
Rationale	Lack of adequate nutrition is a key driver of child mortality, making children more susceptible to disease. Wasting (low weight-for-height) identifies children suffering from current or acute undernutrition. Causes include severe disease or recent starvation.
Notes for interpretation	Unlike stunting, wasting is a short term indicator and may vary seasonally with changes in either food availability or disease prevalence. Prevalence of wasting above 5% is a sign of serious undernutrition in the population. In a well-nourished population, prevalence of approximately 2.5% is expected.
Custodian of the indicator	Nutrition
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	Baseline: 2.7% (DHS 2015-16)
	Recent estimate: 3.8% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS);
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	2.2%; 1.7%; 1.2%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Overweight prevalence (under-five, survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of children under 5 who are overweight (weight-for-height >2
	standard deviations of the WHO Child Growth Standards median)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of children under 5 years that fall above two standard deviations from
	the median weight-for-height of the WHO Child Growth Standards
Numerator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of children aged 0-5 years that were measured
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Sex;
	Age (0-5, 6-11, 12-23, 24-59);
	Level (SD > +3; SD between +2 and +3)
Reporting frequency	3- 5years
Rationale	Globally, childhood obesity is a major challenge and the prevalence is growing
	rapidly. Children who are overweight or obese are more likely to remain
	overweight or obese as adults and are more susceptible to non-communicable
	diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular diseases.
Notes for interpretation	Some children with high weight-for-height may not be obese; however, on a
	population level, a high prevalence of overweight is an indication of
	overnutrition in a portion of the population.
Custodian of the indicator	Nutrition
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	5.1% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS); 4.5% (DHS 2015-16)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	3.9%; 3.3%; 2.7%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Minimum acceptable diet for children 6-23 months (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of breastfed children 6-23 months who have the minimum dietary diversity and the minimal meal frequency during the previous day AND
	Percentage of non-breastfed children 6-23 months who receive at least two milk feedings and had at least the minimum dietary diversity not including milk feeds and the minimum meal frequency during the previous day;
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; No
Numerator	1) Breastfed children 6–23 months of age who had at least the minimum dietary diversity and the minimum meal frequency during the previous day AND 2) Non-breastfed children 6-23 months who receive at least two milk feedings and had at least the minimum dietary diversity and the minimum meal frequency during the previous day
Numerator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	1) Breastfed children 6 – 23 months
	2) Non-breastfed children 6 – 23 months
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator x 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Breastfeeding status
Reporting frequency	3 - 5 years
Rationale	Adequate nutrition is essential for children's health and development. Feeding practices for infants and young children directly affect the nutritional status of children under two and impact child survival. Improving infant and young child feeding practices is therefore critical to improved nutrition, health and development of the children. This is a composite indicator combining the quality (dietary diversity) and
	quantity of diets for children under 2.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator asks mothers what they fed their children in the last 24 hours and therefore relies on memory. If mothers have been exposed to
	interventions to improve child feeding, they be more likely to report what they know to be correct rather than what they did (social desirability bias).
Custodian of the indicator	Nutrition
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	7.8% (DHS 2015-16)
Targets (2019, 2020, 2022)	1) 15%; 2) 5.2% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	13%; 18%; 23%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percentage of low birthweight (LBW) infants (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of live births that weighed less than 2500 grams
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; No
Numerator	Number of live born neonates that weigh less than 2500g at birth (in the last five years DHS; in the last 2 years MICS)
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Denominator	Number of live births whose birthweight was recorded (in the last five years DHS; in the last 2 years MICS) to surveyed women
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	3 – 5 years
Rationale	Birthweight is an important indicator of the risk of childhood morbidity and mortality. Children born weighing less than 2500 g (or reported to be 'very small' or 'smaller than average,') have an elevated risk of mortality in early childhood and an elevated risk of disease throughout the lifecourse. The main causes of LBW include preterm birth and Intrauterine Growth Restriction (IUGR). Both preterm deliveries and IUGR maybe caused by undernutrition during pregnancy or other underlying infections such as malaria during pregnancy or anaemia.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator gives the prevalence of low birthweight in the population over the last 2 or 5 years (depending on the survey used). In addition to providing an indicator of children's future susceptibility to morbidity and mortality, low birthweight can be interpreted as a reflection of maternal wellbeing. This may be affected by recall bias as the MICS asks about birthweight among children born in the last two years and the DHS about birthweight for children born in the last 5 years. Further, this only reflects birthweight among children whose birthweight was measured (84% in the 2015 DHS; 88% in the MICS) and may not be an accurate representation of the population rate given the inability to report on birthweights of infants born at home.
Custodian of the indicator	Nutrition
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	12.9% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS) 12.3% (2015/16 DHS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	11%; 9.5%; 8%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Institutional percentage of low birthweight infants (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of live births that weighed less than 2500 grams in health facilities
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of live born neonates that weigh less than 2500g at birth
Numerator source	Maternity register; Maternity Clinic Monthly Report
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Number of live births
Denominator source	Maternity Clinic Monthly Report; HMIS 15, HMIS 17
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Maternity Clinic Monthly Report ("RHD MAT Newborn Complications Weight < 2500g")
	Denominator: Maternity Clinic Monthly Report ("RHD MAT Survival/Survival
	Alive not HIV exp" + "RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive Exp No NVP" + "RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive NVP Started" + "RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive
	unknown Exp" + "RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive Neonatal death")
	OR
	Denominator: HMIS 15 ("HMIS Total # of Live birth") + HMIS17 ("Live birth")
Lowest administrative level	Health Facility
Disaggregation	None /
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Birthweight is an important indicator of the risk of childhood morbidity and
	mortality. Children born weighing less than 2500 g (or reported to be 'very
	small' or 'smaller than average,') have an elevated risk of mortality in early
	childhood and an elevated risk of disease throughout the lifecourse.
	The main causes of LBW include preterm birth and Intrauterine Growth
	Restriction (IUGR). Both preterm deliveries and IUGR maybe caused by
	undernutrition during pregnancy or other underlying infections such as malaria
	during pregnancy or anaemia.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator gives the prevalence of low birthweight among children born at
Troces for interpretation	a health facility. It provides an indication of children's future risk of morbidity
	and mortality. Additionally, low birthweight can be interpreted as a reflection
	of maternal wellbeing. Facility-based estimates may under-estimate the
	population prevalence of low birthweight as women who give birth in a facility
	may be more likely to receive ANC and therefore receive preventive care for
	malaria and other illnesses that could lead to low birthweight.
	The denominator of this indicator is all babies born in the facility. If some
	babies were not weighed at birth, this may result in an underestimate of the
	percent of low birthweight babies.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	*See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	Nutrition
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	4.2% (DHIS2, 2015; Maternity dataset at 95.6% reporting rate)
	5.0% (DHIS2, 2015; HMIS15 dataset at 94.6% reporting rate)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	NA

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percentage of children 6-59 months with anaemia (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of children aged 6–59 months with a haemoglobin level of less than
	110 g/L, adjusted for altitude.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of children aged 6-59 months with a haemoglobin level of less than
	110 g/L, adjusted for altitude.
Numerator source	Survey (DHS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of children aged 6–59 months who had haemoglobin levels
	obtained during the survey
Denominator source	Survey (DHS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Severity (mild, moderate and severe)
Reporting frequency	5 years
Rationale	Anaemia is a serious concern for young children because it can impede normal
	growth and both physical and mental development. In addition, it can also
	increase vulnerability to infectious diseases. Monitoring the prevalence of
	anaemia in children can be useful for the development of health intervention
	programmes designed to prevent anaemia, such as iron fortification
	programmes.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator is not able to distinguish the cause of anaemia which can be due
	to iron definiency (50% of cases globally) or as the result of infections or other
	nutritional deficiencies.
Custodian of the indicator	Nutrition
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	63% (DHS 2015-16)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	61%; 59%; 58%

14 Physical assets management (PAM) indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Percentage of the population living within 8 Km of a health facility
Indicator Definition	The proportion of the population that resides within an 8 Km radius of a static health facility. Health facilities include public, non-governmental (NGO), and community-based health facilities defined as a static facilities (i.e., Government, CHAM and NGO facilities that have a designated building) in which general health services are offered.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; No
Numerator	Estimated total population living within an 8 Km radius of a health facility
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Geo-spatial modelling
Denominator	Mid-year population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA /
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Facility type, ownership
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	This indicator measures one dimension of access to health services, physical access. This indicator can be used to identify under-served areas, and will allow comparisons within and between districts, regions, sectors. Geographic mapping will allow identification of where there are coverage gaps for certain populations.
Notes for interpretation	While this indicator includes all health facilities, NGO and other facilities may not be identified with the same accuracy as government facilities, leading to undercounting. Limitations of this indicator include the fact that this is independent of facility size or local population density.
Custodian of the indicator	DPPD (Infrastructure Unit)
M&E framework level	Input
Baseline / recent estimates	90% (2016, HSSP II)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	81%; 85%; 90%

15 Policy and planning indicators (DPPD)

10 Toney and plans	ing mateators (DTTD)
Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Client satisfaction with health services
Indicator Definition	Percentage of survey respondents who report to be satisfied or very satisfied
	with the health services
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Total number of clients who are very satisfied or more or less satisfied with
	health services
Numerator source	TBD – proposal to include in the DHS or other population-based survey
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of clients surveyed
Denominator source	TBD – proposal to include in the DHS or other population-based survey
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100%
Calculation (HMIS)	NA /
Lowest administrative level	Region
Disaggregation	Service type: sick child, family planning, ANC; Facility type: hospital, health
	centre, dispensary, clinic, health post
Reporting frequency	To be determined
Rationale	Client satisfaction surveys present an excellent opportunity to obtain feedback
	from clients and patients on the performance of the health system delivery.
	Client satisfaction can be a proxy for the quality of the service provided and
	provides important input for health system improvement
Notes for interpretation	Client satisfaction rating are based on subjective responses from patients. They
	need to be interpreted with caution because while they may be an indication of
	quality of services, they depend on the expectations of the patient. Further,
	patient satisfaction is hard to disentangle from treatment outcomes and
	compliance with treatment.
Custodian of the indicator	Clinical Services
M&E framework level	Output /
Baseline / recent estimates	Not yet measured
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	70%; 75%; 80%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Government budget allocation to the public health sector
Indicator Definition	Percentage of Government of Malawi budget allocated to health sector
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; No; No
Numerator	Government of Malawi budget allocated to the public health sector
Numerator source	GoM Annual Budget
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Government of Malawi budget
Denominator source	Total GoM Annual Budget
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100%
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	This indicator illustrates the Government's commitment to the health sector.
	The Abuja Declaration states that Government should at least allocate 15% of
	their overall budget to the health sector. Increased allocation reveals the level of government's commitment to the improvement of health of the people.
Notes for interpretation	While this indicator shows the commitment of the Government of Malawi towards the health sector, it does not give a sense of overall spending on health or the sustainability of that funding. It can be best understood along with other indicators around the sources of health expenditure in Malawi. For instance, the 2013 National Health Accounts found that donors contributed roughly 65% of total health expenditure, though only a small proportion of that was spent through the MOH.
Custodian of the indicator	Planning Department
M&E framework level	Output
Baseline / recent estimates	6% NHA (SoWC 2015 report)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	9%; 12%; 15%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Out-of-pocket payment for health care
Indicator Definition	Share of total current expenditure on health paid by households out-of-pocket, expressed as a percentage of total current expenditure on health
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Total household out-of-pocket expenditure for health (12-month period)
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	National Health Accounts
Denominator	Total current expenditure on health
Denominator source	National Health Accounts
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	This is an indicator of financial risk protection. It gives an indication of the proportion of total health expenditures that are paid for directly by households. High levels of out-of-pocket expenditure may lead to catastrophic or impoverishing expenditures on health care.
Notes for interpretation	Out-of-pocket expenditure also measures access to health services. High levels of out-of-pocket expenditure may be indicative of restrictive access to health services due to lack of pooled financing, e.g. health insurance schemes.
Custodian of the indicator	Planning department
M&E framework level	Input
Baseline / recent estimates	10.9% (NHA,2015)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	10.9%; 9.5%; 7%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Total health expenditure per capita
Indicator Definition	The amount in US Dollars that is spent per person on health in Malawi
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; No; No
Numerator	Total Expenditure on health (USD)
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	National Health Accounts
Denominator	Estimated mid-year Population
Denominator source	NSO
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	This indicator helps to understand spending on health in relation to the size of the population.
Notes for interpretation	Expenditures can come from any source including public sector, out-of-pocket expenses, health insurance, etc. Because of this, expenditures may be underestimated as it can be difficult to obtain data from local government, private sector companies, NGOs and insurance companies.
Custodian of the indicator	Planning department
M&E framework level	Input
Baseline / recent estimates	\$39.2 (NHA 2014-15)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	\$43; \$45; \$47

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Universal Health Coverage (UHC) Index *Pending further definition
Indicator Definition	The UHC indicator is calculated using two indices; a Health Services coverage index and a Financial protection coverage index. The health services coverage index is a composite indicator calculated from 16 indicators across 4 health services category while the financial services indicator uses the proportion of the population with high household expenditures on health as a share of total household consumption expenditure or income
	A composite indicator that measures of the availability, accessibility and affordability of health services (prevention, promotion, treatment, rehabilitation and palliative) to those who needs them without experiencing financial hardship or catastrophic expenditure
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; Yes:
Numerator	Financial protection: Total household health expenditure".
	Health service coverage – all indicators will be calculated separately and an aggregate measure/index calculated for all indicators categories
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Financial protection – IHS; Welfare Monitoring Survey; NHA; Health services coverage index –DHS, Malaria Indicator Survey; STEPS survey; SPA;
Denominator	Financial protection: total household consumption expenditure or total household income
	Health service coverage – all indicators will be calculated separately and an aggregate measure/index calculated for all indicators categories
Denominator source	Financial protection – IHS; Welfare Monitoring Survey; NHA
	Health service coverage – all indicators will be calculated separately and an aggregate measure/index calculated for all indicators categories
Method of calculation	Financial protection – Numerator x Denominator x 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Service coverage indicator – varies by indicator included NA
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	UHC has been defined as a situation where all people who need health services (prevention, promotion, treatment, rehabilitation and palliative) receive them, without undue financial hardship (World Health Report 2010), and there has been growing demand for UHC worldwide. UHC has been adopted as Target 3.8 of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) broken down into two related indices, namely; health services coverage and financial protection against the cost of health services coverage
Notes for interpretation	The health services coverage is measured using a set of 16 tracer indicators in four service coverage categories. These tracer indicators are combined into an index that summarizes national coverage with a single numeric value on a scale of 0 – 100%. The indicators in the index according to category are*: 1) Reproductive, Maternal, neonatal and child health category indicators a) Demand for FP satisfied with modern methods

	b) Antenatal care coverage (at least four visits)
	c) Pentavalent III coverage
	d) Care seeking behavior for pneumonia (% U5years children with
	suspected pneumonia
	2) Infectious diseases
	a) TB detection and treatment (
	b) ART coverage
	c) ITN for malaria prevention coverage
	d) Access to improved sanitation
	3) Non-communicable diseases
	a) Prevalence of non-raised blood pressure
	b) Mean fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)
	c) Tobacco non-use (% adults ≥15years not smoking in the last 30 days)
	4) Service capacity and access
	a) Hospital beds per 10,000 population
	b) Health worker density (Physicians per 10,000; Psychiatrists per 100,000
	population and Surgeons per 100,000 population)
	c) International Health Regulations capacity index
	For the financial protection indicator, health expenditures are considered high
	if the ratio of health expenditures to either other expenditures or household
	income exceeds a threshold which is either set at 10% or 25%.
	*these are expected to be refined further through internal consultations.
Custodian of the indicator	Planning department
M&E framework level	Input
Baseline / recent estimates	N/A
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	Not yet available

16 Reproductive health indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Maternal Mortality Ratio (survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Number of maternal deaths from any cause related to or aggravated by
	pregnancy or its management during pregnancy and childbirth or within two
	months of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and site of the
	pregnancy, per 100 000 live births.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
	Age standardized maternal mortality rate for women 15 – 49 years of age in the
	last 7 years (calculated by asking about deaths of sisters of women interviewed)
Numerator source	Surveys (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	General fertility rate
Denominator source	Surveys (DHS, MICS)
	Numerator/Denominator* 100,000
Calculation (HMIS)	N/A
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	3 - 5 years
Rationale	Complications during pregnancy and childbirth are a leading cause of death and
	disability among women of reproductive age in Malawi. Survey-based data
	provides the best available estimate of nationally-representative maternal
	mortality.
•	MMR obtained through DHS reflects deaths at the time of pregnancy and does
	not differentiate between true pregnancy-related deaths and deaths from
	accidents or injuries. Because maternal deaths are rare, estimates have wide
	confidence intervals, therefore small changes in MMR may not reflect true
	population-level change. Furthermore, DHS measures maternal deaths over the
	past 5 years while MICS measures death over the last 7 years. Neither reflect
I I	
	recent changes.
	recent changes.
	recent changes. As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of this indicator.
Custodian of the indicator	recent changes. As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of
Custodian of the indicator M&E framework level	recent changes. As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of this indicator. Reproductive Health Department

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Institutional Maternal Mortality Ratio (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Number of maternal deaths from any cause related to or aggrevated by
	pregnancy or its management during pregnancy or childbirth or within 42 days
	of termination of pregnancy, as recorded in facilities, per 100 000 live births.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of maternal deaths in health facilities/institutions
Numerator source	Maternity Register; Maternity Clinic Monthly Report
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Number of live births in health facilities/institutions.
Denominator source	Maternity Clinic Monthly Report.
Method of calculation	Numerator/Denominator* 100,000
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Maternity Monthly Report ("RHD MAT Maternal Deaths")
	Denominator: Maternity Monthly Report ("RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive not HIV exp" + ""RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive Exp No NVP" + ""RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive NVP Started" " + ""RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive unknown Exp" + ""RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive Neonatal death")
	OR
	Denominator: HMIS 15 ("HMIS Total # of Live births") + HMIS17 ("HMIS17 Live Births")
	(Note: This data is also available through MDSR, IDSR, and the Maternal and Neonatal Death Report. Data should be triangulated on a regular basis)
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	Primary Complication
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Complications during pregnancy and childbirth are a leading cause of death and disability among women of reproductive age in Malawi. This indicator monitors deaths related to pregnancy and childbirth that occur within facilities. This is both a proxy measure for the national maternal mortality ratio and reflects the capacity of the health system to provide effective and quality health care in preventing maternal deaths.
Notes for interpretation	As a facility-based measure, this will underestimate maternal deaths, given that many that occur during pregnancy or postpartum may take place at home or outside maternity wards. It is also important to note other data sources capturing maternal deaths, such as maternal death surveillance and response (MDSR) and maternal death notification forms, and to use these sources to verify data coming from the Maternity register.
	The denominator, total live births, means that mothers who die during pregnancy or during/after the birth of a stillborn child will not be included in the denominator. This may lead to an overestimation of the maternal death rate. Some comparable indicators may use total deliveries.
	While global definitions of maternal mortality do not consider deaths from accidental or incidental causes to be maternal deaths, the HMIS system does not differentiate between true pregnancy-related deaths and deaths from accidents or injuries.
	As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of this indicator.

	Deliveries in private clinics not captured in DHIS may alter estimates.* *See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	311 per 100,000 ((DHIS2, 2015; Maternity dataset at 95.6% reporting rate))
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	NA

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Total Fertility Rate
Indicator Definition	The average number of children a woman would have by the end of her child
	bearing period if she bore children at the current age-specific fertility rates.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; No
Numerator	Number of children born in the year to women within each age group (for seven 5-year age groups from 15 – 49 years old)
Numerator source	Survey (DHS, MICS); Census
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Number of women-years of exposure in the age group (for seven 5 year age groups from 15 – 49 years old [DHS]
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	*Sum of age-specific fertility rates (numerator/denominator)* 5
Calculation (HMIS)	N/A
Lowest administrative level	Region
Disaggregation	Residence
Reporting frequency	3 - 5 years
Rationale	Fertility is one of the dynamics of population change. Rapid population growth is a major problem for Malawi, and monitoring the trend in total fertility rates will track efforts to reduce the rapid population growth in Malawi. TFR measures the impact of Family Planning Programs in the country.
Notes for interpretation	The number of children a woman bears in her lifetime is a factor of many variables including age at first child,, interval between births, and fecundity. Because changes in total fertility rate are based on the most recent measurement of age-specific fertility rates only, they can only be interpreted as the number of children per women in the case that fertility rates are constant. For the DHS and MICS surveys, age-specific fertility rates are measured for the three years prior to the survey and may not reflect the most recent rates. Ultimately, the civil registration system will be the ideal source of this data.
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	4.4 children per woman (DHS 2015-16) 5.0 children per woman (MDG Endline Survey, 2014)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	4.0; 3.5; 3.0

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Antenatal care coverage (Survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of women aged 15-49 with a live birth in the last five years (two
	years for MICS) that received antenatal care, four times or more.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Number of women aged 15 to 49 with a live birth in the last five years (two years for MICS) who received antenatal care four or more times
Numerator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the last five years (two years for MICS)
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	N/A
Lowest administrative level	Region
Disaggregation	Age; Birth order; Residence; Mother's education; Wealth quintile
Reporting frequency	3 - 5 years
Rationale	WHO guidelines recommend a minimum of 4 ANC visits for pregnant women
	without complications. Antenatal care enables (1) early detection of
	complications and prompt treatment, (2) prevention of diseases through
	immunisation and micronutrient supplementation; (3) birth preparedness and
	complication readiness; and (4) health promotion and disease prevention
	through health messages and counselling.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator measures whether women received antenatal care during their
	most recent live birth in the last five years, and therefore should be seen as an
	average measure across the last five years. Further, because women are asked
	about pregnancies that occured in the past, their answers may be subject to
	recall bias. Finally, while having at least 4 ANC visits makes it likely that women
	received the full range of ANC services, it does not guarantee quality of care
	and, in fact, does not ask whether the care was provided by a skilled provider
	(doctor, nurse, midwife).
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Oútcome
Baseline / recent estimates	50.6% (DHS 2015-16)
	45% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	55%; 60%; 65%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Antenatal care coverage (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of women with a live birth in a given time period that received
	antenatal care four or more times.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Number of women who received antenatal care four or more times
Numerator source	ANC Clinic Register; ANC monthly reporting tool
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of live births in the same period in the facility
Denominator source	Maternity Monthly Report (Maternity Register); HMIS 15
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: ANC Monthly Facility Report ("RHD ANC visits per woman Total with 4 visits" + "RHD ANC Visits per woman Total with 5+ visits")
	Denominator: Maternity Monthly Report ("RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive not HIV exp" + ""RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive Exp No NVP" + ""RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive NVP Started" " + ""RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive unknown Exp" + ""RHD MAT Survival/Survival Alive Neonatal death")
	OR
	Denominator: HMIS 15 ("HMIS Total # of Live births") + HMIS17 ("HMIS17 Live Births")
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None /
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	WHO guidelines recommend a minimum of 4 ANC visits for pregnant women without complications. Antenatal care enables (1) early detection of complications and prompt treatment; (2) prevention of diseases through immunisation and micronutrient supplementation; (3) birth preparedness and complication readiness; and (4) health promotion and disease prevention through health messages and counselling.
Notes for interpretation	Note that the numerator and denominator of this indicator do not exactly match. Using the total number of live births as the denominator may count women who had twins or triplets more than once. At the same time, women who had term deliveries with a still birth would also not be included in the denominator (though they might have attended 4 ANC visits.)
	This facility-based indicator shows the percentage of women giving birth at facilities who receive at least 4 ANC visits and is a measure of ANC compliance for women who are already receiving some care at facilities. It assumes that women who receive ANC care will also deliver in facilities, but it is possible that some women will still deliver at home despite having received ANC care.
	This indicator likely overestimates the percentage of all women who receive ANC care as women who don't deliver in facilities are less likely to receive ANC than women who do.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.* *See General Guidelines.
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Outcome

Baseline / recent estimates	28.7% (2015, DHIS2; ANC dataset 90.7% reporting rate)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	55%; 60%; 65%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Births attended by skilled health personnel (Survey-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of births attended by skilled health personnel during the last five
	years
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of live births attended by skilled health personnel (doctor, clinical
	officer, medical assistant, nurse, or midwife)
Numerator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Number of live births in the last five years
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	Region
Disaggregation	Age (<20, 20-34, 35-49);
	Type of skilled provider (Doctor/Clinical officer, Nurse/Midwife, medical
	assistant)
Reporting frequency	3 – 5 years
Rationale	Complications during pregnancy and childbirth are a leading cause of death
	and disability among women of reproductive age in Malawi. Access to skilled
	care during childbirth is a key strategy to reduce both maternal and neonatal
	deaths. Maternal mortality itself can be very difficult to measure, making it
	critical to track related indicators.
Notes for interpretation	While having a skilled personnel attend a delivery is a marker of access to
	quality care during delivery, it does not measure whether there are adequate
	resources or referral options available should complications arise.
	This indicator includes any live births to surveyed women in the past five years
	and should be understood as a five-year average and therefore less reflective
	of recent patterns. Additionally, responses may be subject to recall bias.
	This indicator measures skilled birth attendance among live births only, which
	differs from the HMIS-based indicator and could lead to slight variations in
	findings.
//	
	As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of
	this indicator.
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	89.8% (DHS 2015-16)
	87.4% (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	91%; 93%; 95%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Births attended by skilled health personnel (HMIS-based)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of births attended by skilled health personnel
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of births attended by skilled health personnel (doctor, clinical officer,
Trainerates.	medical assistant, nurse, midwife)
Numerator source	Maternity Register; Maternity Monthly Report
(primary; reporting form)	Haterinty negister, materinty monthly neport
Denominator	Total number of expected deliveries
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Maternity Health Facility Report ("RHD MAT Staff conducting
Calculation (Thins)	delivery MO/CO/MA/Nurse/MW")
	OR
	HMIS 15 ("HMIS delivery by skilled personnel")
	Third 13 (Third delivery by skilled personner)
	Denominator: Target Population form ("CMED Expected pregnant women")
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Complications during pregnancy and childbirth are a leading cause of death
Nationale	and disability among women of reproductive age in Malawi. Access to skilled
	care during childbirth is a key strategy to reduce both maternal and neonatal
	deaths. Maternal mortality itself can be very difficult to measure, making it
	critical to track associated indicators.
Notes for interpretation	When comparing this indicator to the comparable survey-based indicator, it is
Notes for interpretation	important to note that this indicator captures skilled delivery rates for all
	births, whereas the survey-based indicator only captures skilled delivery rates
	for live births.
	The maternity register distinguishes between skilled deliveries and unskilled
	deliveries (HSAs, etc.). However, because births in health facilities are
	supposed to be attended by a skilled professional, there may be a reluctance to
	record unskilled deliveries. This could lead to over-estimation of the indicator.
	As the civil registration system develops, this will become an ideal source of
	this indicator.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
	Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.*
	*See General Guidelines.
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	57.9% (DHIS2, 2015, Maternity report, 95.3% reporting rate
	53.8% (DHIS2, 2015 HMIS 15, 94.6% reporting rate)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	NA

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Modern contraceptive prevalence rate
Indicator Definition	Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who are currently using, or whose sexual partner is using, at least one modern method of contraception, regardless of the method used
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; No
Numerator	Women aged 15-49 years who are currently using, or whose sexual partner is using, at least one modern method of contraception, regardless of the method used
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Denominator	Total number of women of reproductive age who are married or in-union + total number of sexually active, unmarried women.
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	Region
Disaggregation	Marital status (married or in union; sexually active unmarried) Age (15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49); Method (short, long, and permanent)
Reporting frequency	3 – 5 years
Rationale	Modern contraception prevalence measures access to and utilization of family planning. Modern contraceptive prevalence rate is also a proxy measure for access to reproductive health services and can help track progress toward universal access.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator can be difficult to interpret as an indicator of access to reproductive services as it does not take into account whether women have a demand for contraception (ie. would like to prevent or delay pregnancy). This indicator is currently calculated separately for women who are married or in a union and sexually active unmarried women in DHS. MICS only provides data on women who are married or in a union.
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	Married women: 58%; Sexually active unmarried women: 44% (DHS 2015-16) Married women: 57%; (2014 MDG Endline/MICS) 45% FPET, Track 20
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	Married: 61%,67%, 73% Unmarried: 50%; 54%; 58% All women: 54%; 58%; 62%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Demand for family planning satisfied with modern methods (all women)
Indicator Definition	Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years), who are sexually
	active, who have their need for family planning satisfied with modern methods
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; Yes
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of women in need of family planning who use modern methods
Numerator source	Surveys (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of women in need of family planning
Denominator source	Surveys (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	Region
Disaggregation	Marital status (umarried, sexually active; married)
	Age (15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49);
	Residence (urban, rural);
	Education (No education, Primary, Secondary, More than secondary);
	Wealth quantile (Lowest, Second, Middle, Fourth, Highest);
Reporting frequency	3 - 5 years
Rationale	This indicator can be a proxy for access to reproductive health services and
	complements the contraceptive prevalence indicator. It provides a way to
	monitor whether the system is able to meet the demand for modern family
	planning methods.
Notes for interpretation	Unlike the contraceptive prevalence indicator, this indicator includes both
	married and unmarried sexually active women. Additionally, even if
	contraception prevalence is going up it's possible for this indicator to still go
	down if demand for family planning services are also increasing.
	Values less than 75% are considered very low and greater than 95% are
	considered very high.
Custodian of the indicator	RHD
M&E framework level	Outcomé
Baseline / recent estimates	Married: 74.6%; Sexually active, unmarried: 51.3% (DHS 2015-16)
	75.1% (married women, 2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	Married: 80%, 82%, 84%
	Unmarried: 54%, 57%, 60%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Postpartum care coverage
Indicator Definition	Percentage of mothers who received postpartum care within two days of childbirth (regardless of place of delivery)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	Yes; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Women who had a live birth in the past two years who received postpartum care within two days of childbirth (regardless of place of delivery)
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Surveys (DHS, MICS)
Denominator	Total number of women with a live birth in the last two years
Denominator source	Surveys (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	None
Reporting frequency	3 - 5 years
Rationale	A large proportion of maternal and neonatal deaths occur during the early postpartum period. Thus, prompt postnatal care is important to treat complications arising from the delivery as well as to provide the mother with important information on caring for herself and her baby.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator covers live births in the last 2 years and may be subject to recall bias. Further, women with a still birth are not included in the numerator or the denominator and therefore this indicator is not representative of their care. Postpartum care represents a package of services but does not have a clear definition. The content and quality of the postpartum care therefore cannot be assessed based on this indicator.
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	81.3% - newborn, 75% - mother (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
	39.2% - mother (DHS 2015-16)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	84%; 87%; 90%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Adolescent fertility rate
Indicator Definition	Annual number of births to women aged 10- 14 and 15-19 years per 1000
	women in that age group.
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Total number of births in the past three years to women who were 10- 14 AND
	Total number of births in the past three years to women who were 15-19 years old at the time of birth
Numerator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of person years lived between the ages 10 – 14 in the past three years by surveyed women
	AND
	Total number of person-years lived between 15-19 in the past three years by
Danaminatanaan	surveyed women
Denominator source	Survey (DHS, MICS)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 1000
Calculation (HMIS) Lowest administrative level	NA Bogion
	Region
Disaggregation	Age (10 – 14; 15 – 19)
Reporting frequency	3-5 years
Rationale	Women who become pregnant and give birth at a young age are at higher risk of complications and death. Their children are also at higher risk of low
	birthweight and death. Further, there may be socio-economic consequences as
	women may not be able to finish school. The adolescent birth rate provides
	evidence of the success of reproductive health programs targeted at this age
	group.
Notes for interpretation	Survey data provides an approximation of the adolescent fertility. When
	available, data from the CRVS system will provide a more accurate estimate.
	This indicator is an average of the adolescent fertility rate over the last three
	years.
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	15 – 19 year olds: 136 per 1,000 women (DHS 2015-16)
	15 – 19 year olds: 143 per 1,000 (2014 MDG Endline/MICS)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	15 – 19 year olds: 125 per 1,000; 115 per 1,000; 100 per 1,000

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Cervical cancer screening
Indicator Definition	Percentage of women aged 30-45* screened for cervical cancer using any of the following methods: Visual Inspection with Acetic Acid/vinegar (VIA), pap smear and Human Papillomavirus (HPV) test (*WHO recommendation 30-49, however HMIS currently reporting 30-45)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; Yes
Numerator	Number of women between the ages 30–45 who had an initial screening for cervical cancer.
Numerator source (primary; reporting form)	Cervical cancer register; Malawi Cervical cancer quarterly reporting tool
Denominator	Estimated mid-year population of women between the ages 30-45 years
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator: Cervical Cancer Prevention Program Quarterly Report ("NCD CC Initial VIA 30-45")*
	Denominator: Target population form ("Estimated 30 – 45 year population")**
	* As HMIS currently captures this indicator for the ages <30, 30-45, and 45+; it is recommended that data available for the ages 30-45 be used until the recommended range 30-49 is available.
	** The target population, 30-49, also needs to be estimated and added within the DHIS database.
Lowest administrative level	National
Disaggregation	Age (30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49)
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Cervical cancer is the most common female cancer in low- and middle-income countries and is often fatal. Widespread cervical cancer screening can result in dramatic declines in cervical cancer mortality. WHO reccomends that women between 30 and 49 are screened every 3-5 years (depending on the method used). Even a single screening can dramatically reduce the risk of cervical cancer.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator differs from the global indicator recommended by both the WHO and the SDGs, which looks at the percent of women from 30-49 who have ever been screened for cervical cancer. Malawi's HMIS system is not set up to capture that, so instead is simply getting the percentage of women 30-45 who were given an initial screening for cervical cancer in the past year. Targets should be adjusted accordingly.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
	Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.* *See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	Reproductive Health Department
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	0.35% (DHIS2, 2015 Cervical Cancer Programme Quarterly Report dataset, 1.3% reporting rate) * Population of women aged 30-45 years was estimated by multiplying the
	total population by 7.5%. In the 2008 Malawi Housing and Population census,

	women aged 30 – 45 years were approximately 7.5% of the total population or 15% of the female population
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	Not available

17 Tuberculosis indicators

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	TB Notification rate
Indicator Definition	Number of all tuberculosis (TB) cases detected in a given year per 100,000
maleator Bernitton	population. (The term "case detection", as used here, means that TB is
	diagnosed in a patient and is reported within the national surveillance system,
	and then to WHO.)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	NO, TES, NO
Numerator	Number of TB cases detected
Numerator source	TB register at registration center in designated health facilities; Quarterly TB
(primary; reporting form)	reporting form
Denominator	Estimated mid-year population
Denominator source	Target population form
Method of calculation	Numberator / Denominator * 100,000
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator:
Calculation (Thins)	1) New TB Cases - TB Case Findings Reporting Form "Total Totals" - (" Total
	Treatment after lost to follow up M" + Total Treatment after lost to follow up
	F" + "Total Treatment after failure M" + "Total Treatment after failure F")
	OR
	2) New TB Smear positive Cases and Relapses - New TB Cases - TB Case Findings
	Reporting Form "Total Smear Positive M" + "Total Smear Positive F" + "Total
	Relapse M" + Total Relapse F"
	OR /
	3) All TB Cases - TB Case Findings Reporting Form "Total Totals"
	OR
	4) New Smear Positive Pulmonary – TB Case Findings Reporting Form "Total
	Smear Positive M" + "Total Smear Positive F"
	Denominator: Estimated total population
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	TB diagnosis (smear positive, clinically diagnosed);
2134881 68411011	Type of TB (pulmonary, extrapulmonary);
	New / relapsed;
	Age (0-4, 5-14, 15-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, ≥ 65),
	Sex
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	TB is an important contributor to morbidity and mortality in Malawi. According
	to the 2010 Global Burden of Diseases, it is the 9th leading cause of premature
	mortality in Malawi. The TB notification rate gives an indication of the burden
	and distribution of TB in a population, helping the national TB program monitor
	the effectiveness of TB control efforts and priotize and plan for future control
	efforts.
Notes for interpretation	TB notification is a proxy for TB incidence (rate of new cases per year).
	However, TB notification depends additionally on whether people with TB seek
	care and are appropriately diagnosed. While a drop in TB notification rates
	usually indicates a drop in TB incidence, it is possible that it indicates a less
	effective case finding.
	Passausa TD can develop in pagala who become infacted many vaccing assistants.
	Because TB can develop in people who became infected many years previously,
	the effect of TB control on incidence is less rapid than the effect on prevalence
	or mortality.

	TB Reporting form under revision in 2017.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.*
	Healthcare utilization by non-Malawians may result in higher estimates.*
	Accuracy of population estimate may bias results.*
	*See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	ТВ
M&E framework level	Impact
Baseline / recent estimates	121 per 100,000 (TB Control Programme National Strategic Plan 2015 – 2020)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	191 per 100,000; 196 per 100,000; 196 per 100,000 (TB Control Programme,
	National Strategic Plan 2015 – 2020)

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	Second line treatment coverage among MDR-TB cases
Indicator Definition	Percentage of notified TB patients who have been detected with MDR-TB and
	enrolled in second-line anti-TB treatment
Alignment (HSSP I; Global	No; Yes; No
100; SDG)	
Numerator	Number of notified TB patients who have been detected with MDR-TB and
	enrolled in second-line anti-TB treatment
Numerator source	Category IV TB register
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of confirmed MDR-TB patients
Denominator source	Category IV TB register(District level - 2nd register);
	Tuberculosis Laboratory Register NTRL-TB (national level - 1st register)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	NA
Lowest administrative level	District
Disaggregation	New, Relapsed
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	MDR-TB accounted for 0.4% of all new cases of TB and 4.8% of retreated cases
	in 2011. Prompt treatment of patients with MDR-TB both improves the
	likelihood of the patients' survival and reduces the risk of transmission of MDR-TB.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator measures the percent of known cases of MDR-TB currently receiving a second-line treatment. Cases of MDR-TB that are not detected will not be included. Further, the indicator does not assess whether the correct second-line treatment was provided or whether the patient successfully completed treatment.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* *See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	ТВ
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	100% (Central Reference Lab, 2014)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	100%; 100%; 100%

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	TB Treatment success rate
Indicator Definition	Percentage of TB cases registered in a specified period that successfully completed treatment / cured (cured plus treatment completed)
	OR (for smear positives):
	Percentage of a cohort of new smear-positive TB cases registered in a specified
	period that successfully completed treatment / cured (cured plus treatment completed)
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; No
Numerator	Number of notified TB cases registered in a specified period that successfully
	completed treatment/cured (cured plus treatment completed)
	OR (for smear positives only)
	Number of notified new smear positive TB cases registered in a specified period
	that successfully completed treatment/cured (cured plus treatment completed)
Numerator source	TB Unit register; (TB Treatment Outcome Quarterly Reporting form)
(primary; reporting form)	All TD assess of Could be the beautiful Could be
Denominator	All TB cases notified to the health facilities
Denominatorio	All new smear positive TB cases notified to the health facilities
Denominator source	Facility TB register (TB Treatment Outcome Quarterly Reporting form)
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	1) - All Forms of TB: Numerator: TB Treatment Outcome Form ("TBTO New Smear Lye Gured" L
	Numerator: TB Treatment Outcome Form ("TBTO New Smear +ve cured" + "TBTO New Smear +ve completed " + "TBTO Relapse Cured" + "TBTO Relapse
	Completed" + "TBTO Smear –ve Completed" + "TBTO Kelapse Cureu" + "TB
	RxAfter Lost Cured" + "TBTO Rx After Lost Completed" + "TBTO After Failure
	Cured" + "TBTO After Failure Completed" + "TBTO Others Completed"
	Denominator: TB Treatment Outcome Quarterly Reporting Form ("TBTO New
	Smear +ve No Evaluated" + "TBTO Relapse No Evaluated" + ""TBTO New Smear
	+ve No Evaluated" + "TBTO EPTB No Evaluated" + "TBTO Rx After Lost No
	Evaluated" + "TBTO After Failure No Evaluated" + "TBTO Others.No Evaluated"
	OR
	2) – Smear positive
	Numerator: TB Treatment Outcome Form (""TBTO New Smear +ve cured" +
	"TBTO New Smear +ve completed "
	Denominator: TB Treatment Outcome Form ("TBTO New Smear +ve No
	Evaluated")
Lowest administrative level	Health facility
Disaggregation	Age (0-4, 5-14, 15 and above),
	TB diagnosis (smear positive v. all)
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	Treating TB patients with a complete course is not only life-saving for patients
	but also a primary means of preventing the spread of this airborne, infectious
	disease. This indicator measures a program's capacity to retain patients
	through a complete course of chemotherapy with a favorable clinical result.
	There is a direct and immediate link between this outcome of treatment
Natas familiata constitu	success and the impact of reduced TB mortality.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator defines treatment success as either a complete course of
	treatment where the patient is known to be cured or a complete course where

	there is no evidence of failure but status is unknown. It is possible that some patients in this second category do not have fully cured TB. Patients who do not successfully complete treatment may have dropped out, died, or failed to be cured by the treatment.
	An increasing trend indicates that the TB programme has been successful in managing treatment and hopefully in interupting the spread of TB.
	TB Reporting form under revision in 2017.
	Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* *See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	ТВ
M&E framework level	Output
Baseline / recent estimates	84% (smear positives; TB Control Programme, National Strategic Plan 2015 –
	2020)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	88%; 89%; 90% (TB Control Programme, National Strategic Plan 2015 – 2020)

Unique Identifier (code)	
Indicator name	HIV-positive TB patients on ART during TB treatment
Indicator Definition	Percentage of HIV-positive TB patients who received (or are receiving) ART during or at the end of TB treatment
Alignment (HSSP I; Global 100; SDG)	No; Yes; No
Numerator	Number of HIV-positive TB patients who received (or are receiving) ART during or at the end of TB treatment
Numerator source	District TB register; Quarterly TB reporting form
(primary; reporting form)	
Denominator	Total number of HIV-positive TB patients registered during the same period of time
Denominator source	District TB register
Method of calculation	Numerator / Denominator * 100
Calculation (HMIS)	Numerator / Denominator * 100 Numerator: TB-HIV Quarterly Reporting Form ("TBHIVC- Started ART B4 Treatment age 0-4 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART B4 Treatment age 0-4 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART while on Treatment age 0-4 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART B4 Treatment age 5-14 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART B4 Treatment age 5-14 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART B4 Treatment age 5-14 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART while on Treatment age 5-14 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART while on Treatment age 5-14 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART while on Treatment age 15-24 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART while on Treatment age 15-24 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART while on Treatment age 15-24 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART while on Treatment age 15-24 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART B4 Treatment age 25-34 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART B4 Treatment age 25-34 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 25-34 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 25-34 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-44 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-44 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-44 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-44 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-44 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-44 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-45 female" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-64 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-64 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-64 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-64 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-64 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-64 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-64 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-64 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-64 male" + "TBHIVC- Started ART While on Treatment age 35-44 female" + "TBHIVC- Total Tested positive age 35-44 female" + "TBHIVC- Total Tested posit

	positive age 65+ female"
	***The denominator is the sum of all age-specific HIV positive TB patients
Lowest administrative level	Health facility
Disaggregation	Age (15-24; 25-34; 35-44; 45-49), Sex; new/relapsed
Reporting frequency	Annual
Rationale	TB is the leading cause of death among people living with HIV. The WHO
	recommends that all patients with diagnosed and presumptive TB should be tested for HIV and those found positive should be offered ART regardless of
	their CD4 count. In addition to reducing mortality, TB patients are the largest groups already in the health care system who could benefit from ART.
Notes for interpretation	This indicator measures whether ART has become a routine component of TB care and treatment. Included in this are the following components: accessibility of ART, provider willingness to provide ART to TB patients, referrals between TB and HIV care. However, this indicator only looks at TB treatment within patients known to be HIV-positive if patients are not being routinely tested it could appear as if a high proportion are being treated when in fact only those who already know their status or are already on ART are being treated. Further, it does not measure at what point in the process patients are put on ART, the regimen, or the effectiveness of treatment. TB Reporting form under revision in 2017. Underreporting from private and public clinics may alter estimates.* *See General Guidelines
Custodian of the indicator	TB (and HIV)
M&E framework level	Outcome
Baseline / recent estimates	92.6 % (Tb Control Programme National Strategic Plan 2015 – 2020)
Targets (2018; 2020; 2022)	95%; 95%; 95% (Tb Control Programme National Strategic Plan 2015 – 2020)